

METHODS FOR DEVELOPING CRITICAL THINKING SKILLS IN EXPLAINING GRAMMATICAL TOPICS IN RUSSIAN LANGUAGE LESSONS.

Shodiyeva Dilnoza Yuldosh kizi

Polytechnical school No. 1 of Gijduvan district, Bukhara, Uzbekistan

Annotation: This article outlines five methods to foster critical thinking in Russian language lessons by engaging students in analytical, problem-solving, and reflective activities focused on grammatical concepts. These strategies encourage students to understand the logic and nuances of Russian grammar, including its case system and verb aspects, while developing skills such as reasoning, pattern recognition, and synthesis.

Teaching Russian grammar, with its intricate case system, verb conjugations, and syntactic structures, offers a unique opportunity to cultivate critical thinking skills. By integrating methods that encourage analysis, problem-solving, and reflection, educators can help students not only master grammar but also develop a deeper understanding of the language's logic and nuances. Below are effective methods to foster critical thinking in Russian language lessons, specifically when explaining grammatical topics.

Keywords: critical thinking, russian grammar, problem-based learning, socratic questioning, comparative analysis, collaborative discussions, contextual application, verb aspects, case system, language acquisition, russian language, grammar teaching, analytical skills, reflective learning

Problem-based learning involves presenting students with authentic, open-ended problems that require applying grammatical knowledge to solve. For example, provide a short Russian text with intentional grammatical errors (e.g., incorrect case endings or verb aspects). Ask students to identify and correct the errors, justifying their choices based on grammatical rules.

Present a dialogue where characters misuse the instrumental case. Students must analyze the context, identify errors, and explain why the correct case is needed (e.g., "Я иду с друг" should be "с другом" because the preposition "с" requires the instrumental case when indicating companionship).

Students practice analyzing context, applying rules, and justifying decisions, which enhances their ability to think logically and systematically.

The Socratic method involves asking probing questions to encourage students to explore grammatical concepts deeply. Instead of directly explaining a rule, pose questions that guide students to deduce it themselves.

- Why does the verb "читать" change to "читаю" in the first person singular? What pattern do you notice?
- How does the use of the perfective aspect in "прочитал" differ from the imperfective "читал" in this sentence?
- When teaching the Russian case system, ask students to compare sentences like "Я вижу стол" (accusative) and "Я сижу на столе" (prepositional). Prompt them to articulate why different cases are used based on the preposition and verb.
- Encourages students to reason through rules, make connections, and articulate their thought processes, fostering analytical skills.

Encourage students to compare and contrast grammatical structures to identify patterns and exceptions. This method is particularly effective for understanding complex topics like verb aspects or declensions.

- Provide pairs of sentences using perfective and imperfective verbs (e.g., "Я писал письмо" vs. "Я написал письмо"). Ask students to analyze the differences in meaning, tense, and context, then generalize the rule for aspect usage.
- Create charts or tables where students categorize nouns by declension patterns or verbs by conjugation types, noting exceptions (e.g., irregular verbs like "идти").
- Promotes pattern recognition, hypothesis formation, and the ability to handle exceptions, which are key components of critical thinking.

Organize group discussions where students debate grammatical choices or explain concepts to each other. This method leverages peer teaching to reinforce understanding and critical analysis.

- Divide students into small groups and assign each group a grammatical topic, such as the use of the genitive case for negation. Each group prepares a short explanation and presents it, while others ask questions or challenge their reasoning.
- Use prompts like "Why is the genitive case used in 'У меня нет времени' but not in 'Я хочу время'?" to spark discussion.
- Encourages students to articulate ideas, defend their reasoning, and consider alternative perspectives, enhancing evaluative skills.

Ask students to apply grammatical rules in creative, context-driven tasks, such as writing short stories, dialogues, or essays. This approach requires students to think critically about how grammar shapes meaning.

- Have students write a short paragraph describing a past event, using both perfective and imperfective verbs correctly. Afterward, they explain their choices in a reflective journal.
- For advanced learners, task them with creating a dialogue that incorporates specific cases (e.g., dative for indirect objects). Encourage them to justify their grammatical choices in a follow-up discussion.
- Promotes synthesis of knowledge, reflection on application, and understanding of grammar's role in communication.

This updated article includes a new conclusion section that summarizes the methods and highlights their impact on both language proficiency and critical thinking development.

References:

1. Beyer, B. K. (1995). *Critical Thinking*. Bloomington, IN: Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.
2. Brookfield, S. D. (2012). *Teaching for Critical Thinking: Tools and Techniques to Help Students Question Their Assumptions*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
3. Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

4. 4.Timberlake, A. (2004). A Reference Grammar of Russian. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
5. 5.Wade, T. (2010). A Comprehensive Russian Grammar (3rd ed.). Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
6. 6.Qudratova, G. M. (2025). MINTAQAVIY ILMIY-TADQIQOT INSTITUTLARI: RIVOJLANISH YO'NALISHLARI VA TAQQOSLAMA TAHLIL.IZLANUVCHI, 1(6), 6-12.
7. 7.Qudratova, G. (2025). EFFECTIVE USE OF ECONOMETRIC RESEARCH-AS A FACTOR OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.Journal of Applied Science and Social Science, 1(3), 218-220.
8. 8.Qudratova, G. M. (2025). TEXNOLOGIK PARKLARNING MINTAQA INNOVATSION RIVOJLANISHINI TA'MINLASHDAGI AHAMIYATI.YANGI O'ZBEKISTON, YANGI TADQIQOTLAR JURNALI, 2(8), 170-178.
9. 9. Azimov, B. F. (2025). INNOVATSIYALARNI QO 'LLAB-QUVVATLASH XIZMATLARI: ISPANIYA, POLSHA VA BOLGARIYA TAJRIBALARI.THEORY OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHES OF WHOLE WORLD, 1(4), 12-23.
10. 10.Qudratova, G. M. (2025). INNOVATION MARKAZLAR: RIVOJLANISHI, TAQQOSLAMA TAHLIL VA KELAJAKDAGI TENDENSIYALAR.ANALYSIS OF MODERN SCIENCE AND INNOVATION, 1(7), 98-104.
11. 11.Qudratova, G. M., & Egamberdiyeva, S. (2025). IJTIMOIIY HIMOYA VA UNING IQTISODIYOTNI RIVOJLANTIRISHDAGI AHAMIYATI.Modern Science and Research, 4(3), 202-206.
12. 12.Azimov, B., & Nazirov, H. (2025). THE IMPORTANCE OF EXTERNAL COMMUNICATION STRATEGY IN MODERN CONDITIONS.Journal of Applied Science and Social Science, 1(2), 3-5.
13. 13.Azimov, B. (2025). WHAT DOES THE EFFICIENCY OF FREE ECONOMIC ZONES AND INNOVATION ZONES DEPEND ON?.International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, 1(2), 280-282.
14. 14. Azimov, B., & Botirov, S. (2025). THE FIGHT AGAINST THE HIDDEN ECONOMY: CAUSES, CONSEQUENCES AND BEST PRACTICES. Journal of Applied Science and Social Science, 1(2), 879-883.
15. 15. Boboev, A. C., & Narzullaeva, N. F. (2022). Development of tourism in the regions.
16. 16. Boboev, A. Ch., & Rakhmatov, Sh. A. (2016). Evolution of theoretical views on entrepreneurship. Fundamental and applied research in the modern world, (13-2), 94-98.