

FORMATION AND USE OF HUMAN CAPITAL IN THE NAMANGAN REGION

Bustonov Mansurjon Mardonakulovich

Professor of Namangan Technical University Doctor of Economics

Email: bustonov1975@mail.ru

Akmal Mamadaliev Gayratilievich

assistant of Namangan Technical University

Annotation: Evolutionary approaches of scientists to the study of the category “human capital” allow us to state that the formation of the theory of human capital is a process of accumulation of scientific views and theoretical and methodological concepts of the role and place of man in the economy based on the combination and synthesis of various scientific schools, trends, accumulation of ideas of scientists from different times. The formation of the theory occurred gradually under the influence of scientific and technological progress and changes in socio-economic social relations over time.

Keywords: Population, labor force, human capital, education, employment, ecology, productive capital, regional economy.

Introduction. The study established that modern concepts of human capital development place emphasis on man as the main resource and value of society's development. The main attention is paid to improving the quality of human capital, where the foundation is the introduction of lifelong learning and personal development, taking into account abilities and capabilities.

Classification of types of investments in human capital, which, unlike the existing ones, is supplemented with classification criteria taking into account the influence of globalization on human development, where the globalization level (human capital of transnational corporations) is highlighted. Below is a conceptual model of a system for investing in human development (fig. 1).

In the model discussed below, a new factor is added to the classic idea of the “Solow residual” - “human capital”, and as this factor is the ratio of expenditures allocated from the state budget to the social sector and the social sphere. protection of the population is taken into account in GDP. This method also allows us to assess which factor should be given more attention to ensure stable economic growth in the national economy and which factor has the greatest potential for efficiency.

So, we use the Solow balance to estimate the gross efficiency of economic growth factors. This method shows how physical capital, human capital and labor force affect overall economic growth.

Using human capital, the classical form of the Solow remainder takes the following form:

$$TFP(t) = \frac{\dot{Y}(t)}{Y(t)} - \alpha_k(t) \frac{\Delta K(t)}{K(t)} - \beta_l(t) \frac{\Delta L(t)}{L(t)} - \gamma_h(t) \frac{\Delta H(t)}{H(t)}, \quad (1)$$

В ЭТОМ $\alpha_k(t) + \beta_l(t) + \gamma_h(t) = 1$




Figure 1. Conceptual model of a system for investing in human capital development¹.

Here: $TFP(t)$ - the residual term of the model or "Solow residual" ($TFP(t)$ - total factor productivity $\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y}$ - gross factor productivity), $\frac{\dot{K}}{K}$ - annual growth rates of GDP, - annual growth rates of capital, - annual growth rates of labor, $\frac{\dot{L}}{L}$ - annual growth rates. human capital, - the coefficient of physical capital in GDP, $\frac{\dot{H}}{H}$ - the share of labor in GDP, - the share of human capital in GDP.

The procedure for calculating the share of coefficients:

- write the equation as follows:

[(ratio of investment in fixed capital in period t to GDP) + (ratio of the labor factor in the economy in period t) + (ratio of expenditure on human capital in period t (ratio of the state budget to the social sector and spending on social protection in GDP) in GDP)] = 1 (Table 1).

Table 1

Results of accounting for the share of factors in Uzbekistan²

years	GDP volume (billion soums)	Volume of investments in fixed assets (billion soums)	Ratio of investment in fixed capital to GDP (coefficient)	Ratio of expenditures on human capital (share of expenditures on the social sphere and social protection from the state budget to GDP) in GDP (coefficient)		Share of the labor factor in the economy's GDP (coefficient)
				%	coefficient	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			[(3 - column) / (2 - column)]		[(5 - column) / 100]	[1 - (4th column + 6th column)]
2017	317 476,4	72 155,2	0,238501	13,7	0,137	0,89

¹ developed by the author

² Author's calculations based on data from the State Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

2018	426 641,0	124 231,3	0,304851	13,7	0,137	0,89
2019	532 712,5	195 927,3	0,370099	13,8	0,138	0,76
2020	605 514,9	210 195,1	0,348841	13,8	0,138	0,76
2021	738 425,20	239552,6	0,3244101	12,4	0,124	0,55
2022	896 617,90	266240	0,2969381	13,13	0,1313	0,57

The procedure for calculating the growth rate of expenses on “human capital”:

[growth rate of spending on human capital in period t = ratio of spending on human capital (share of the state budget for the social sector and spending on social protection in GDP) in GDP x annual growth rate of GDP] (table 2).

Table 2

Results of accounting for growth rates of expenditure on human capital in Uzbekistan³

years	Annual GDP growth rate (in percentage s)	Ratio of expenditures on human capital (share of expenditures on the social sphere and social protection from the state budget to GDP) in GDP (coefficient)		Annual growth rate (in percent) of expenditure on human capital (ratio of state budget to expenditure on the social sector and social protection in GDP)
		%	коэф.	
1	2	3	4 [(3 - column) / 100]	5 [(2-column) • (4-column)]
2017	4,4	13,7	0,137	0,59
2018	5,4	13,7	0,137	0,74
2019	5,7	13,8	0,138	0,79
2020	5,5	13,8	0,138	0,76
2021	7,4	12,4	0,124	0,92
2022	5,7	13,13	0,1313	0,75

³ Author's calculations based on data from the State Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

The procedure for calculating the gross efficiency of factors:

[gross factor efficiency in period t = GDP growth rate in period t - (ratio of physical capital to GDP in period t - growth rate of physical capital in period t) - (in period t - ratio of economy factor labor to GDP x labor factor growth rate in economics) - (the ratio of expenditures on human capital in period t (the ratio of expenditures on the social sector and social protection from the state budget to GDP) in GDP x the growth rate of expenditures on human capital in period t)] (table 3).

Table 3

Results of accounting for gross factor efficiency in Uzbekistan⁴

years	GDP growth rate (percent age)	Ratio of investment in fixed capital to GDP (coefficient)	Growth rate of investment in fixed capital (in percentages)	Share of the labor factor in the economy's GDP (coefficient)	Labor factor growth rate in the economy (percent age)	Ratio of human capital expenditures to GDP (coefficient)	Growth rate of human capital expenditure (percent age)	Gross factor productivity TFP (in percentages)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
								$[2-(3 \cdot 4) - (5 \cdot 6) - (7 \cdot 8)]$
2017	4,5	0,24	29,9	0,89	4,01	0,137	0,59	-6,32
2018	5,4	0,30	38,1	0,89	4,81	0,137	0,74	-10,41
2019	5,7	0,37	38,1	0,76	4,33	0,138	0,79	-11,79
2020	5,5	0,34	4,4	0,76	4,18	0,138	0,76	0,72

⁴ Author's calculations based on data from the State Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

2021	7,4	0,32	5,2	0,55	4,07	0,124	0,92	3,38
2022	5,7	0,30	9,0	0,57	3,25	0,1313	0,75	1,05

Modern theories of economic growth show that investment in human capital is important for ensuring long-term economic growth. Among them, increasing the level of education and literacy in the country, as well as high-level investments in human capital will lead to rapid GDP growth. In addition, the higher the share of human capital in production, the faster the economy will grow.

According to statistical data, in recent years, our national economy has paid much attention to endogenous factors of economic growth. In particular, in the structure of expenditures of the state budget of Uzbekistan, the largest share is made up of expenditures on the social sphere and social support of the population.

According to the analysis, the average GDP growth rate in our national economy from 2000 to 2023 was 6.6%, of which physical capital accounted for 2.8%, total factor efficiency - 2.1%, labor factor - 1.6% and 0.089% correspond to the contribution of human capital. Thus, it can be seen that physical capital contributes more to economic growth than labor factor and human capital.

However, we cannot consider the contribution of human capital in the amount of 0.089% high for the sustainable growth of our national economy, in a situation where it has been proven that the influence of human capital and innovation on economic growth is high in world practice.

In particular, today in Uzbekistan there are great opportunities to ensure long-term economic growth, further increase investment potential and accelerate innovation processes; for this, it is desirable to further strengthen funding for the R&D sector and create free innovation zones like technology parks in Uzbekistan. higher education institutions with high potential.

Thus, we propose a conceptual model for the formation of the institutional environment of human capital in Uzbekistan, taking into account the regional influence of principles and factors (Fig. 2).




Figure 2. Conceptual model of the formation of the institutional environment of human capital in the context of globalization⁵

Next, we will make calculations of human capital in the Namangan region for five years, for 2019-2023 (Table 4)

Table 4

Results of accounting for the share of factors in the Namangan region⁶

years	GRP volume (billion soums)	Volume of investments in fixed assets (billion soums)	Ratio of investment in fixed assets to GRP (coefficient)	Ratio of expenditures on human capital (share of expenditures on the social sphere and social protection from the state budget to GRP) in GRP (coefficient)		Share of the labor factor in the GRP of the economy (coefficient)
				%	коэф.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			$[(3 - \text{column}) / (2 - \text{column})]$		$[(5 - \text{column}) / 100]$	$[1 - (\text{4th column} + \text{6th column})]$
2018	13 129,0	8158,1	0,62	12,4*	0,124	0,256
2019	16 855,0	12084,9	0,72	10,5*	0,105	0,175
2020	19 420,9	12007,2	0,62	7,65*	0,076	0,3035
2021	23 867,4	12982,0	0,54	12,65*	0,126	0,3335
2022	29 045,6	14775,1	0,51	10,35 ⁷	0,103	0,3865

Note: * - author's calculations

The procedure for calculating the growth rate of expenses on “human capital”:

⁵ Developed by the author

⁶ Author's calculations based on data from the State Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

⁷ Data budget for citizens 2022 Namangan region

[growth rate of spending on human capital in period t = ratio of spending on human capital (share of the state budget for the social sector and spending on social protection in GRP) in GRP x annual growth rate of GDP] (Table 5).

Table 5
Results of accounting for the growth rate of spending on human capital in the Namangan region⁸

years	Annual GRP growth rate (in percentages)	Ratio of expenditures on human capital (share of expenditures on the social sphere and social protection from the state budget to GRP) in GRP (coefficient)		Annual growth rate (in percent) of expenditures on human capital (ratio of the state budget to expenditures on the social sector and social protection in GRP)
		%	coefficient	
1	2	3	4 [(3 - column) / 100]	5 [(2-column) • (4-column)]
2018	5,0	12,4	0,12	0,6
2019	6,9	10,5	0,10	0,69
2020	4,5	7,65	0,08	0,36
2021	9,5	12,65	0,13	1,235
2022	7,2	10,35	0,10	0,72

The procedure for calculating the gross efficiency of factors:

[gross efficiency of factors in period t = growth rate of GRP in period t - (ratio of physical capital to GRP in period t - growth rate of physical capital in period t) - (in period t - ratio of labor factor of the economy to GRP x growth rate of labor factor in the economy) - (ratio of expenditures on human capital in period t (ratio of expenditures on the social sector and social protection from the state budget to GRP) in GRP x growth rate of expenditures on human capital in period t)] (Table 6).

Table 6
Results of accounting for gross factor efficiency in Namangan region⁹

⁸ Author's calculations based on data from the State Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

⁹ Author's calculations based on data from the State Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

years	GRP growth rate (in percent)	Ratio of investment in fixed assets to GRP (coefficient)	Growth rate of investment in fixed capital (in percentages)	Share of the labor factor in the GRP of the economy (coefficient)	Labor factor growth rate in the economy (percentage)	Ratio of expenses on human capital to GRP (coefficient)	Growth rate of human capital expenditure (percentage)	Gross factor productivity TFP (in percentages)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 [2-(3·4)- (5·6) - (7·8)]
2018	5,0	0,62	3,7	0,26	1,3	0,12	0,6	2,296
2019	6,9	0,72	48	0,18	1,242	0,11	0,69	- 27,95946
2020	4,5	0,62	-0,7	0,30	1,35	0,08	0,36	4,5002
2021	9,5	0,54	8,1	0,33	3,135	0,13	1,235	3,9309
2022	7,2	0,51	13,8	0,39	2,808	0,103	0,72	-1,00728

We believe that a number of problems of innovative development are of regional importance. Each region should have its own regional innovation program that stimulates the mass creation and development of innovations, as well as the development of regional bodies for coordinating and developing innovation activities in the regions.

Conclusions. The study showed that the calculation of the value of the integral indicator of the state's influence on the development of human capital in the context of globalization and the integral indicator of the innovative development of human capital, taking into account globalization trends, are within the values of indicators above the average level of human development. This indicates a more accelerated pace of use of human potential and the need to

increase the role of the state in the efficient use of human capital and its further development, which will contribute to the growth of the competitiveness of the national economy.

REFERENCES

1. Fournier J. What is a contingent worker? – HCMWorks, 14 Jul 2015, <https://www.hcmworks.com/blog/what-isa-contingent-worker>
2. Polivanova K.N. Childhood in a changing world. - Modern foreign psychology, 2016, v.5, No. 2, p.5-10, http://psyjournals.ru/files/82357/jmfp_2016_n_2_Polivanova.pdf.
3. World Employment and Social Outlook – Trends 2015. – ILO, <https://www.ilo.org/global/research/global-reports/weso/2015/lang--en/index.htm>.
4. World Development Report. Employment. – World Bank, 2013., http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTNWDR2013/Resources/8258024-1320950747192/8260293-1322665883147/Overview_Russian.pdf.
5. Work for a better future. – Global Commission on the Future of Work, International Labor Organization, 2019., https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---cabinet/documents/publication/wcms_662472.pdf.
6. Compiled by the author based on: Who participates in the gig economy?, <https://www.gigeconomydata.org/basics/who-participates-gig-economy>.
7. Kapelyushnikov R. Russian labor market: adaptation without restructuring, 2001
8. Bustonov M.M. Digital economy in improving the quality of economic growth// European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine. ISSN 2515-8260 2020.Vol 07, Issue 07. <https://www.scopus.com/results/authorNamesList.uri?sort=count-f&src> (SCOPUS).
9. Bustonov M.M. Macroeconomic Trends and Patterns of Sustainable Economic Growth and its Quality// Test Engineering & Management. 2019. November-December. <http://www.testmagazine.biz/index.php/testmagazine/article/view/221>
10. Bustonov M.M. The Firm Aspects and conditions Providing the Qualities of Economic Growth in Uzbekistan //International Journal of Economic Theory and Application. 2017, 4(4): 32-39 <http://www.aascit.org/journal/archive2?journalId=918&paperId=4704>
11. Bustonov M.M., Ensuring Long-Term Economic Growth in the World and Econometric Analysis of Economic Growth of the Republic of Uzbekistan in the Context of Extensive, Intensive and Digital Economy. Miasto Przyszłości Kielce 2022, ISSN-L: 2544-980X. <https://miastoprzyszlosci.com.pl/index.php/mp/article/view/406>
12. Bustonov M.M., Analysis of Economic Growth in the Juglyar Cycle in World Countries. Web of Scholars: Multidimensional Research Journal (MRJ) Volume: 01 Issue: 03 | 2022 ISSN: (2751-7543) <http://innosci.org/index.php/wos/article/view/53/37>
13. Bustonov M.M. Digital Economy In Improving The Quality Of Economic Growth. European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 07, Issue 07, 2020.

14. Bustonov M.M. The firm aspects and conditions providing the qualities of economic growth in Uzbekistan. International Journal of Economic Theory and Application. 2017/ http://www.aascit.org/journal/I_jeta
15. Bustonov M.M. Macroeconomic Trends and Patterns of Sustainable Economic Growth and its Quality. // Test engineering & Management November-December 2019.
16. Bustonov M.M. Digital Economy In Improving The Quality Of Economic Growth. European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 07, Issue 07, 2020.
17. Bustonov M.M. The Firm Aspects and conditions Providing the Qualities of Economic Growth in Uzbekistan. International Journal of Economic Theory and Application. 2017/ http://www.aascit.org/journal/I_jeta
18. B. Baykhanov, Bustonov M.M. Econometric models of sectoral distribution of investments in the economy of Uzbekistan. SOUTH ASIAN Journal of Marketing and Management Research 2019
19. Bustonov M.M. Macroeconomic Trends and Patterns of Sustainable Economic Growth and its Quality. TEST ENGINEERING & MANAGEMENT Vol. 81: Nov/Dec 19 Publication Issue: Vol 81: Nov/Dec 19 Issue Publication Date: 31 December 2019 Published: 2019-11-22
20. Bustonov M.M.,Maxmudov B.J., Rakhimov B.I. Directions for improving the efficiency of the monitoring of commercial banks loan commitments. A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal, Vol. 6 Issue 5, May 2020 Page No.: 304-310. <http://journalnx.com/journal-article/20151021>