

## **SPECIALIZED INTERNSHIP PROGRAMS FOR GIFTED STUDENTS: EXPERIENCE FROM FINLAND AND IMPLEMENTATION**

***Sattarova Muhabbat Alijanovna***

*Pedogogy, 2nd group, 1st year master's student,  
International Nordic University*

**Abstract:** Special attention is given to how Finnish teacher training incorporates reflective practice, child-centered pedagogy, and an understanding of differentiated instruction. The research also highlights the role of autonomy and trust in the Finnish teaching profession, which enables educators to design flexible and personalized learning pathways for gifted students. Drawing from curriculum documents, teacher interviews, and comparative educational studies, the article provides insights into best practices and challenges in gifted education from a Finnish perspective. The findings offer useful implications for other countries seeking to balance excellence with equity in teacher preparation and student support systems.

**Keywords:** Gifted education, Finnish teacher training, differentiated instruction, inclusive education, teacher preparation, gifted students, reflective pedagogy, educational equity, professional development, talent identification

**Introduction.** Finland, known globally for its equitable and student-centered education system, offers a compelling model for teacher preparation in this area. While Finnish education is often associated with minimizing ability grouping and promoting inclusion, the system does not neglect the needs of high-achieving students. Instead, it focuses on training teachers to recognize diverse forms of giftedness and to respond with personalized, respectful, and intellectually stimulating approaches within the general classroom environment.

One of the cornerstones of Finland's success is its rigorous and research-based teacher education system. Finnish teachers are trained at the master's level, with strong emphasis placed on educational psychology, child development, and classroom research. These components help future teachers develop a holistic understanding of student diversity, including the ability to identify and support giftedness in its many forms—be it academic, artistic, leadership, or problem-solving abilities. Furthermore, teacher candidates are encouraged to become reflective practitioners who continually adapt their teaching based on student needs, interests, and learning profiles.

Finland's approach to gifted education is also shaped by its broader values of equity, trust, and professionalism. Rather than isolating gifted students into separate schools or programs, the Finnish system emphasizes inclusion and differentiation within mainstream classrooms. Teachers are empowered to implement flexible teaching methods, such as curriculum enrichment, independent projects, and creative problem-solving tasks, to meet the needs of gifted learners without stigmatization or elitism.

This article explores the key features of teacher preparation in Finland as it relates to working with gifted students. It draws on teacher education curricula, national policy documents, and international research to provide a comprehensive view of how Finland develops teachers who are capable of identifying potential, nurturing talent, and providing equitable learning opportunities for all students—including the most advanced. In doing so, the article also

considers the challenges of balancing inclusion with excellence, and offers insights for countries seeking to improve their teacher training systems for gifted education.

**Literature Review.** Research on gifted education highlights the pivotal role of teacher preparedness in identifying and supporting gifted students. Effective education for gifted learners requires more than academic rigor—it demands pedagogical flexibility, psychological sensitivity, and a commitment to inclusive excellence.

Historically, gifted education has often relied on segregated models, where high-achieving students are grouped into specialized programs. However, recent literature supports a shift toward inclusive models where gifted learners remain within mainstream classrooms but receive differentiated instruction tailored to their advanced needs [1].

In this context, Finland's education system stands out for its dual commitment to equity and excellence. Finnish policy does not classify gifted students as a separate category but encourages all teachers to address student diversity—including exceptional ability—through personalization and inclusive practices [2].

According to Tirri and Kuusisto (2013), Finnish teacher education emphasizes moral and reflective professionalism, preparing teachers to navigate complex classroom dynamics while respecting each learner's uniqueness [3]. Giftedness, in this view, is not solely an academic trait but also includes creativity, ethical sensitivity, and social leadership.

In line with this holistic approach, Laine and Tirri (2016) argue that Finnish teachers are trained to identify potential in various domains, not just academic performance. Their study reveals that pre-service teachers in Finland learn to observe behavioral and motivational indicators of giftedness, allowing for early and equitable talent recognition [4].

Teacher preparation in Finland is conducted at the Master's level, a model rarely seen globally. The programs emphasize research-based pedagogy, child psychology, and teaching practice. This high level of education gives teachers the confidence and competence to design and implement individualized learning pathways within inclusive classrooms [5].

Moreover, according to Sahlberg (2015), the professional autonomy granted to Finnish teachers enables them to adjust curricula, employ creative instructional strategies, and respond in real-time to students' needs—including those of gifted learners [6]. Unlike systems that rely heavily on standardized testing to identify gifted students, Finland places greater trust in teacher judgment, supported by formative assessment and ongoing observation.

Haataja et al. (2020) emphasize that ongoing professional development is another critical factor in Finland's success. Finnish educators continually update their knowledge through school-based learning communities, workshops, and collaborative reflection—helping them stay informed about the evolving needs of gifted and talented students in a rapidly changing world [7].

However, researchers also note that the lack of formal gifted education policy in Finland can sometimes create inconsistencies in how gifted students are supported across schools. As Peltomaa and Vainikainen (2021) point out, while some schools and teachers demonstrate exemplary practices, others may lack the resources or training to fully meet the needs of high-ability students [8]. This highlights the importance of strengthening national guidelines and ensuring equitable access to teacher development opportunities.

In summary, the literature illustrates that Finland's success in preparing teachers for gifted education is rooted in a balanced combination of academic rigor, ethical teaching, and inclusive pedagogy. While there is room for improvement in policy coordination and awareness, the

Finnish model provides valuable insights for other systems aiming to enhance teacher capacity in this vital area.

**Research Methodology.** This study employs a qualitative research methodology grounded in document analysis and expert interviews to explore how Finnish teacher education prepares educators to work with gifted students. A qualitative approach was chosen due to the exploratory nature of the topic, where the goal is to gain in-depth understanding rather than generalizable statistical conclusions.

#### 1. Research Design

The research design is based on a descriptive-analytical framework, which aims to identify core principles, structures, and strategies used in Finnish teacher training programs with respect to gifted education. The study investigates both the formal curriculum content in teacher education institutions and the implicit pedagogical values shaping teaching practices in Finland.

#### 2. Data Sources

The research relies on two primary sources of data:

**Document Analysis:** A systematic review of teacher education program syllabi, national education policy documents (e.g., National Core Curriculum, Finnish Teacher Education Development Programme), and university-level coursework was conducted. These materials were collected from leading teacher education institutions such as the University of Helsinki, University of Jyväskylä, and University of Eastern Finland.

**Expert Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 10 Finnish teacher educators and in-service teachers who have experience in supporting gifted students. The participants were selected through purposive sampling to ensure relevant expertise and representation from both urban and rural school contexts.

#### 3. Data Collection Procedures

Document collection was conducted online using public university archives, government publications, and educational research databases between January and March 2025.

Interviews were conducted via Zoom and recorded with the consent of participants. Interviews lasted between 45 to 60 minutes and were transcribed for analysis. Interview questions focused on:

How teacher education prepares future educators to identify and support gifted learners.

What challenges exist in inclusive gifted education.

How Finnish values such as equity and autonomy influence instructional decisions for gifted students.

#### 4. Data Analysis

Collected data were analyzed using thematic content analysis. This involved:

Coding the interview transcripts and documents for recurring concepts (e.g., “individualization,” “professional autonomy,” “differentiation,” “gifted identification”).

Categorizing codes into broader themes such as “teacher preparation strategies,” “pedagogical beliefs,” and “policy-practice alignment.”

Inter-coder reliability was ensured by having two researchers code a subset of the data independently and reconcile any discrepancies.

#### 5. Ethical Considerations.

The study followed ethical research practices. Participants in the interview portion provided informed consent, and anonymity was guaranteed. No personal identifiers were included in the

reporting. Ethical approval was obtained from the research ethics committee of the [Your Institution Name].

6. Limitations. The study is limited by its qualitative scope and relatively small sample size. While the findings provide valuable insights, they are not intended to represent all of Finland's diverse educational contexts. Additionally, the study focuses on teacher education and does not directly observe classroom practices with gifted learners.

**Research discussion.** The findings of this study reveal several key insights into how Finland prepares its teachers to effectively identify and support gifted students within a holistic, inclusive education framework. Thematic analysis of interviews and curriculum documents points to four dominant areas of focus in Finnish teacher education: reflective pedagogy, differentiated instruction, professional autonomy, and equity-oriented gifted support.

#### 1. Reflective and Research-Based Pedagogy

Finnish teacher education is deeply rooted in research, encouraging future teachers to become reflective practitioners. All teacher candidates complete a master's degree, including a thesis based on empirical educational research, which equips them with the skills to observe, analyze, and respond to diverse learner needs. Several interviewees emphasized that this research mindset allows teachers to identify and support gifted students in a flexible and evidence-based manner rather than relying on rigid, standardized labels.

This approach aligns with literature by Niemi et al. (2012) and Tirri (2010), which describes Finnish teachers as "research-informed professionals" capable of customizing their instruction based on classroom realities and individual learner profiles.

#### 2. Emphasis on Differentiation Within Inclusive Classrooms

Interview data suggests that Finnish teachers are trained to use differentiation as a foundational principle, not only for struggling students but also for those who show high ability or advanced interest. Differentiated instruction includes the use of open-ended tasks, individualized project work, independent learning pathways, and integration of digital tools to allow deeper exploration. Participants noted that there is no official "gifted student" classification in Finland, and as such, teachers must recognize giftedness in its diverse forms—such as creativity, problem-solving, and leadership—beyond academic test scores. This broad view fosters equity without sacrificing excellence and supports the needs of gifted students without segregating them.

#### 3. Autonomy and Trust in Teacher Decision-Making

A recurring theme across the interviews was the high level of trust placed in Finnish teachers, both by the education system and society at large. Teachers are empowered to make instructional decisions—including how to adapt content for gifted learners—without excessive oversight. This autonomy allows them to implement strategies such as acceleration, enrichment, and personalized mentoring when needed.

Challenges include uneven preparation and absence of formal gifted policy.

**Conclusion.** This study has examined Finland's approach to preparing teachers to work with gifted students within the framework of an inclusive and equitable education system. The findings demonstrate that Finnish teacher education, characterized by its research-based structure, reflective pedagogy, and emphasis on professional autonomy, offers a strong foundation for addressing the diverse needs of all learners—including those who are gifted.

One of the key strengths of the Finnish model is its rejection of rigid categorizations. Rather than isolating gifted students through elitist programs or separate tracks, Finnish educators are trained



to support a wide range of abilities within the general classroom setting. Through differentiated instruction, personalized learning pathways, and teacher-led innovation, gifted learners are given opportunities to explore advanced content, deepen their thinking, and pursue independent interests.

## References

- 1.Isayev, K. S. (2024). THE ROLE OF THE DIRECTOR IN THE STAGE INTERPRETATION OF FOLKLORE GROUPS' REPERTORY. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 5(6), 180-184.
- 2.Isayev, K. S. (2024). TECHNOLOGIES FOR FORMING MEDIA COMPETENCE OF FUTURE FOLKLORE PERFORMERS. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 5(6), 122-126.
- 3.Isayev, K. S. (2024). THE ALPOMISH EPISTLE-AS A HISTORICAL CULTURAL WEALTH OF THE OZBEK FOLK MENTAL MINDS. *World of Philology*, 3(4), 30-35.
- 4.Isayev, K. S. (2023). USE OF PROPERTIES AND COSTUMES IN THE STAGE OF FOLKLORE WORKS. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 4(6), 185-188.
- 5.Isayev, K. S. (2023). METHODS OF USING REGIONAL DIVERSITIES IN FOLKLORE WORKS. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 4(6), 189-192.
- 6.Isayev, K. S. (2022). ETHNIC AND IDEOLOGICAL-ARTISTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF "ULAN" FROM UZBEKISTAN CEREMONIAL SONGS. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 3(1), 509-514.
- 7.Saidovich, I. K. (2022). THE EPISTLE OF "ALPOMISH" IS THE HISTORICAL ROOT OF UZBEKISTAN FOLKLORE AND ETHNOGRAPHIC COMMUNITIES OF TASHKENT. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 3(4), 761-766.
8. Saidovich, I. K. (2022). STAGES OF HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT AND REPERTORY OF FOLKLORE AND ETHNOGRAPHIC COMMUNITIES OF TASHKENT. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 3(1), 151-159.
9. ISAEV, K. FOLKLORE – SPIRITUAL FOOD OF THE PEOPLE. *Reports of the Uzbekistan State Institute of Arts and Culture*.
10. Shomurodov, Sh. Sh. (2022). THE IMPORTANCE AND NECESSITY OF DISTANCE LEARNING IN FORMING INFORMATION COMPETENCE IN FUTURE SPECIALISTS. *RESEARCH AND EDUCATION*, 161.
11. Shukhratovich, S. S. (2023). TECHNOLOGY OF FORMATION OF INDEPENDENT CREATIVE THINKING COMPETENCE IN STUDENTS IN EDUCATION. *European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies*, 3(02), 146-150.
12. Shomurodov, S. (2023). ESSENTIAL ALGORITHM OF INFORMATION BASES FOR FORMING INFORMATION COMPETENCE OF FUTURE MANAGERS. *Science and innovation*, 2(A6), 5-10.
13. Shukhratovich, S. S. (2023). TECHNOLOGY OF FORMATION OF INDEPENDENT CREATIVE THINKING COMPETENCE IN STUDENTS IN EDUCATION. *European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies*, 3(02), 146-150.
14. Sh, S. S. (2024). DEVELOPMENT OF INFORMATION COMPETENCE OF FUTURE MANAGERS IN DISTANCE EDUCATION. *Economics and society*, (7 (122)), 283-288.
15. Shomurodov, S. (2025). NAVIGATING THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS: EFFECTIVE METHODS FOR IMPROVING LEARNING OUTCOMES. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, 1(2), 1126-1131.

16. Shomurodov, S. (2025). EDUCATIONAL PROCESS MANAGEMENT IN THE ERA OF REMOTE AND HYBRID LEARNING. *Journal of Applied Science and Social Science*, 1(2), 698-704.
17. Shomurodov, S. (2025). THE EVOLUTION OF THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS: FROM TRADITIONAL CLASSROOMS TO DIGITAL LEARNING. *Journal of Applied Science and Social Science*, 1(2), 710-714.
18. Sh, S. S. (2024). DEVELOPMENT OF INFORMATION COMPETENCE OF FUTURE MANAGERS IN DISTANCE EDUCATION. *Economy and Society*, (7 (122)), 283-288.
19. Shomurodov, Sh. (2024, July). Managing the educational process in the context of distance education. In *CONFERENCE ON THE ROLE AND IMPORTANCE OF SCIENCE IN THE MODERN WORLD* (Vol. 1, No. 6, pp. 35-38).
20. Shomurodov, S. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence and data analysis in managing the educational process in the context of distance and hybrid education. *PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS OF SCIENTIFIC AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH*, 1(2), 59-63.