JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 08, August 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

AI-BASED DECISION-MAKING MODELS FOR AUTONOMOUS DRIVING IN URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Khujamov Fazliddin
Former Student of Vocational school No/1 of Dehkanabad district

Abstract: Autonomous driving in urban environments presents a uniquely complex challenge due to dynamic traffic patterns, dense infrastructure, and the unpredictability of human agents such as pedestrians and cyclists. This review explores the growing role of artificial intelligence (AI) in addressing the decision-making demands of urban autonomous vehicles (AVs). We categorize key AI-based approaches—deep learning, reinforcement learning, imitation learning, multi-agent models, and hybrid systems—and analyse their applications, strengths, and limitations in real-world scenarios. The paper also examines foundational tools, including simulation platforms (CARLA, SUMO, AirSim), benchmark datasets (KITTI, nuScenes, Waymo), and insights from industry leaders like Waymo, Baidu Apollo, and Tesla. Core challenges such as safety validation, data scarcity for rare events, interpretability, and ethical considerations are critically discussed. Finally, we outline future directions involving 5G/6G integration, digital twins, and human-centered AI to support scalable, reliable, and transparent decision-making in urban autonomy. This review serves as a comprehensive foundation for researchers and practitioners aiming to advance the next generation of intelligent urban mobility systems.

Keywords: Autonomous vehicles · Urban driving · AI-based decision-making · Deep learning · Reinforcement learning · Imitation learning

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement in autonomous vehicle (AV) technologies has positioned intelligent mobility at the core of future transportation systems. Urban environments—dense, dynamic, and multifaceted—demand sophisticated systems that go beyond rule-based driving. In such contexts, decision-making plays a pivotal role in ensuring safety, efficiency, and adaptability. As urbanization accelerates, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in AVs becomes essential for handling the high variability of traffic, infrastructure, and human behavior in cities [1].

Urban driving is uniquely challenging due to the inherent unpredictability of pedestrian behavior, unstructured road scenarios, occlusions, frequent intersections, and inconsistent signage. Unlike controlled highway environments, cities involve dense traffic, vulnerable road users, and complex legal constraints. Traditional methods, such as finite state machines or rule-based logic, fail to generalize well in these scenarios [2-3].

To navigate such complexity, AI-based decision-making models—including reinforcement learning, neuro-symbolic reasoning, and deep imitation learning—have emerged as powerful tools for enabling context-aware, real-time decisions in AVs. These models can learn optimal driving strategies through simulations, adapt to unfamiliar environments, and incorporate ethical and safety constraints [4-5]. Furthermore, the integration of IoT and edge computing allows decision-making modules to be decentralized, responsive, and adaptive to hyper-local changes [6].

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the state-of-the-art AI-based decision-making approaches for autonomous driving in urban contexts. It highlights foundational models, emerging hybrid methods, benchmarking environments, and open research challenges, with the goal of guiding future research and deployment strategies for intelligent urban mobility systems.

2. Decision-Making in Urban Autonomous Driving

Autonomous driving systems rely on multi-layered decision-making frameworks, typically categorized into three levels: strategic, tactical, and operational. The strategic level focuses on high-level planning such as destination selection and route optimization across a city. The tactical level handles short- to mid-range planning tasks like overtaking, lane changes, and intersection behavior. Lastly, the operational level involves real-time control, such as maintaining lane position, obeying traffic signals, and reacting to nearby vehicles or pedestrians [7-8].

Urban driving presents unique challenges for decision-making systems. Common tasks include lane changing in dense traffic, handling intersections with ambiguous right-of-way rules, and ensuring pedestrian and cyclist safety in dynamic environments. These scenarios often involve partial observability, delayed feedback, and multiple agents interacting concurrently [9]. As such, AVs must operate with both predictive foresight and real-time adaptability.

Traditional rule-based systems, while interpretable and easier to verify, struggle in urban contexts due to their rigidity and inability to generalize across novel situations. They are heavily reliant on pre-programmed logic and often fail in scenarios involving uncertainty or incomplete information. In contrast, AI-based approaches, including deep reinforcement learning, imitation learning, and neuro-symbolic reasoning, offer more robust adaptability by learning from experience and simulating human-like reasoning [4, 3].

AI systems can infer intentions of surrounding agents, prioritize ethical decisions in ambiguous cases, and improve continuously via data-driven feedback. These capabilities make them particularly suitable for complex urban environments, where flexibility and contextual awareness are critical for safety and efficiency.

3. AI-Based Decision-Making Approaches

3.1 Deep Learning

Deep learning (DL) methods, particularly convolutional and recurrent neural networks, have been applied to end-to-end autonomous driving, where raw sensory inputs (like camera images) are mapped directly to driving actions. These models eliminate the need for modular pipelines and perform well in structured environments. A notable example is Nvidia's PilotNet, which demonstrated real-time steering prediction using only camera data. More recently, CARLA-Apollo integrations have allowed researchers to simulate DL-based driving under urban conditions [10].

However, the black-box nature of deep learning raises concerns about interpretability and safety in critical decisions, particularly in ambiguous urban scenarios like jaywalking pedestrians or unclear lane markings. Deep networks also require large-scale annotated datasets for robust performance, making real-world deployment costly and data-intensive. Furthermore, DL systems often struggle with generalization to unseen conditions such as rare weather events or unusual road geometries.

3.2 Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement learning (RL) offers a powerful framework for decision-making by enabling autonomous agents to learn optimal policies through interaction with the environment. In urban driving, RL has shown promise in managing intersections, adaptive lane changes, and multiscenario path planning. Techniques such as Deep Q-Networks (DQN) and Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) are used in simulators like CARLA to train vehicles for safe, reactive behavior [4].

One strength of RL is its ability to handle delayed rewards and long-term planning, crucial for anticipating complex events in urban settings. However, the need for extensive exploration and simulation episodes limits its scalability. In the real world, deploying RL-trained policies safely is still a major challenge due to the sim-to-real gap and the potential for unsafe exploration.

3.3 Imitation Learning

Imitation learning (IL) focuses on mimicking expert human behavior using supervised learning. Behavioral cloning, a popular IL approach, uses recorded driving data to train models that reproduce driver actions in similar situations. This method is intuitive and data-efficient, allowing quick deployment in familiar traffic environments [2].

While IL enables rapid prototyping and produces human-like behavior, it suffers from compounding errors—small deviations from expected inputs can snowball over time, causing unsafe behavior. Moreover, models trained via IL can lack robustness to novel or out-of-distribution scenarios unless augmented with safety mechanisms or hybrid learning techniques. The quality and diversity of the human dataset directly influence system reliability.

3.4 Multi-Agent Models

Multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) has emerged as a strategy for cooperative autonomous driving, where vehicles interact with one another (V2V) and with infrastructure (V2I). MARL models enable coordinated lane merges, intersection negotiation, and platooning by treating each vehicle as an agent with shared or individual rewards [2].

For example, vehicles communicating via 5G can cooperatively adjust speeds to minimize fuel consumption or optimize intersection throughput. Despite its potential, MARL faces challenges including scalability, reward shaping, and non-stationarity—since each agent's behavior evolves over time, making the learning environment unstable. Ensuring safety in adversarial or noisy communication scenarios also remains a hurdle.

3.5 Hybrid Approaches

To bridge the gap between data-driven learning and human-designed safety, hybrid systems combine AI models with explicit rule-based constraints. This fusion allows deep models to learn flexible behavior while being constrained by safety or legal rules—ensuring compliance even in uncertain environments. For example, an RL agent may learn optimal gap selection for a lane change but be bounded by speed limits or safety margins defined by rules [10].

Hybrid approaches are also used in hierarchical decision-making, where a high-level planner based on symbolic logic governs a low-level controller driven by deep learning. This layered structure supports modularity**,** verifiability, and adaptive decision-making, making it increasingly popular in real-world AV deployments. Table 1 compares the strengths and limitations of AI-Based Decision-Making Approaches.

Table 1. Comparative Analysis of AI-Based Decision-Making Approaches for Urban Autonomous Driving

JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 08, August 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

Approach	Key Feature	Strengths	Limitations	Urban Application Example	Key Reference
Deep Learning	End-to-end mapping from sensor input to actions	High scalability; learns complex features automatically	Black-box nature; poor interpretability	End-to-end navigation in city scenarios (CARLA, Apollo)	[10]
Reinforcement Learning	Trial-and-error learning for policy optimization	Capable of long-term reward optimization	Requires massive simulation; safety risks in real world	Intersection handling with traffic signal learning	[4]
Imitation Learning	Learning from expert demonstrations	Data-efficient; human-like behavior replication	Compounding errors; poor generalization to unseen	Behavior cloning for lane following or yielding	[2]
Multi-Agent Models	Coordination among multiple agents (V2V, V2I)	Enables cooperative driving and negotiation	High complexity; non-stationary environment	Platooning and intersection negotiation with V2V	[7]
Hybrid Approaches	Combining AI with rule-based constraints	Balances learning flexibility with rule safety	Integration complexity; potential performance bottlenecks	Rule-guided lane merging using learned policies	[10]

4. Applications & Tools

4. Applications & Tools

The development of AI-based decision-making systems for autonomous urban driving is supported by a growing ecosystem of simulation platforms, curated datasets, and industrial innovation projects. These tools enable robust training, validation, and deployment of models under safe, controlled, and scalable conditions.

4.1 Simulation Platforms

Simulation platforms are indispensable for prototyping and testing autonomous driving policies, particularly where safety, cost, and repeatability are critical.

– CARLA (Car Learning to Act) is a widely used open-source urban driving simulator that supports complex urban layouts, diverse traffic agents, and dynamic weather conditions. CARLA is integrated with Python APIs and ROS, making it suitable for AI model training and benchmarking [11-12].

– SUMO (Simulation of Urban MObility) enables traffic-level simulation, focusing on vehicle flow, signal control, and routing. SUMO is often used alongside AI-driven control models to simulate city-scale optimization and V2X coordination [13].

– AirSim, developed by Microsoft, supports both aerial and ground vehicles and offers realistic physics and rendering via Unreal Engine. It facilitates perception and control algorithm training through photorealistic environments [14-15].

These platforms provide synthetic but high-fidelity environments for developing deep learning, reinforcement learning, and hybrid models in urban contexts.

4.2 Urban Driving Datasets

Large-scale annotated datasets are essential for training and validating perception and decision-making models. Several benchmarks have emerged to serve diverse urban use cases:

– KITTI: One of the earliest real-world datasets, KITTI provides stereo imagery, LiDAR scans, and GPS data. While limited in scope, it remains a foundational dataset for urban object detection and tracking [16].

– nuScenes: This dataset contains 1,000 driving scenes from Boston and Singapore, including 3D object annotations, LiDAR, radar, and weather conditions. It supports tasks like trajectory prediction and behavior modeling [13].

– Waymo Open Dataset: Offers over 1,000 driving sequences from urban areas across the U.S., with high-resolution sensor data and extensive labels. It is commonly used for large-scale AI model benchmarking [16, 12].

These datasets are instrumental in both imitation learning and supervised perception tasks, aiding in the development of safe and adaptive AI driving agents.

4.3 Industry Applications

Several major players are driving real-world deployment of AI-based decision-making through large-scale urban autonomy projects:

– Waymo has deployed fully autonomous vehicles in selected U.S. cities and uses advanced neural networks and reinforcement learning for complex driving tasks, including merging and pedestrian negotiation [12].

– Baidu Apollo has released an open-source AV platform with modules for planning, control, and simulation. Apollo's Robotaxi services use deep learning and rule-based hybrids for decision-making in Beijing and other cities [12].

– Tesla’s Autopilot and Full Self-Driving (FSD) systems rely heavily on vision-based neural networks. Tesla emphasizes end-to-end learning and leverages its fleet for real-world data collection at scale [17].

These industry projects reflect different philosophies—Waymo and Apollo lean toward high-definition mapping and LiDAR, while Tesla focuses on data-driven perception and minimal map dependence.

5. Challenges & Future Directions

Despite rapid advancements in AI-based decision-making for autonomous vehicles (AVs), multiple challenges continue to hinder their safe and scalable deployment in complex urban environments. From reliability concerns to regulatory ambiguities, addressing these issues is critical to achieving widespread societal trust and adoption.

5.1 Safety Validation and Reliability

JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 08, August 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

Safety assurance remains one of the most difficult challenges in deploying AI-driven decision-making systems in urban environments. Unlike traditional systems, AI-based models—especially deep neural networks and reinforcement learning agents—lack deterministic behavior, making it difficult to validate safety across all operational design domains (ODDs). Scenarios involving unpredictable human behavior (e.g., pedestrians darting across streets) are hard to simulate or exhaustively test [18].

Simulation tools such as CARLA and AirSim offer synthetic environments, but these do not always capture the full complexity of the real world. Moreover, there's a lack of standardized formal verification methods for non-rule-based AI agents. Techniques like adversarial testing and scenario-based validation are evolving, but regulatory frameworks are still catching up to ensure these models are certifiably safe.

5.2 Data Scarcity for Rare and Edge Cases

AI systems learn from data—but urban driving contains countless rare but critical scenarios, such as emergency vehicles approaching from blind spots, ambiguous traffic signals, or unusual weather conditions. These edge cases are underrepresented in datasets like KITTI and nuScenes, which often focus on routine traffic scenarios [16].

This data imbalance hampers generalization and robustness. Emerging approaches, such as synthetic data augmentation, scenario generation using Generative AI, and collaborative dataset sharing among stakeholders, are being explored to improve coverage. Still, ensuring that AVs behave safely and ethically in the "long tail" of driving events remains an unresolved problem.

5.3 Black-Box Interpretability

Many AI-based models—particularly deep learning systems—function as black boxes, offering little insight into how decisions are made. This raises concerns in high-stakes situations where explanations are necessary for legal accountability, debugging, or user trust.

Recent research has proposed explainable AI (XAI) techniques, including saliency maps, decision trees over latent embeddings, and post-hoc rule extraction. However, these techniques often provide only approximations, not guarantees. Interpretability becomes even more important in multi-agent settings, where emergent behaviors can lead to unpredictable interactions between AVs and human drivers or pedestrians [18].

5.4 Ethical and Legal Challenges

Urban environments often involve ethically ambiguous scenarios, such as choosing between two suboptimal outcomes during an unavoidable collision. Unlike rule-based logic, AI-based decision models must encode value-based judgments, which raise societal and legal questions.

For instance, Tesla's approach to vision-based end-to-end autonomy has led to debates about liability and transparency in the event of system failures [17]. Furthermore, privacy concerns arise from data-intensive driving systems that may collect sensitive pedestrian or license plate information. The legal systems in many countries are still evolving to define the liability of autonomous systems, especially those driven by non-deterministic AI.

5.5 Future Directions

To address these issues and expand AI's role in AV decision-making, several promising directions are emerging:

- 5G/6G integration: Low-latency communication networks can enable real-time Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) coordination, supporting collaborative driving and dynamic route optimization in congested urban settings [13].

JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 08, August 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

– Digital Twins: These virtual replicas of real urban environments allow AVs to be tested in highly accurate, continuously updated simulations. Digital twins can enable continuous learning, urban planning, and proactive safety assessments.

– Human-Centered AI: Incorporating psychological and behavioral insights into decision-making can improve trust and cooperation between humans and AVs. This includes predicting pedestrian intent, emotion-aware planning, and ethical reasoning modules that align with societal values.

– Federated and Collaborative Learning: Rather than centralizing all driving data, AVs can learn from each other using privacy-preserving distributed learning frameworks—enhancing data efficiency while maintaining user trust.

As autonomous driving enters the next decade, bridging the gap between technical robustness and societal alignment will determine the long-term success of AI in urban mobility.

6. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence has become an indispensable pillar in the pursuit of safe and efficient autonomous urban mobility. By enabling data-driven reasoning and adaptive behavior, AI-based decision-making models have shown remarkable potential in addressing the complexity of city driving—ranging from dense traffic and unstructured road layouts to unpredictable pedestrian behavior. From deep learning to reinforcement learning and hybrid models, AI continues to transform how autonomous vehicles perceive, interpret, and act in dynamic urban environments.

However, progress must be met with caution and responsibility. Ensuring safety**,** transparency**,** and ethical alignment is just as important as achieving technical sophistication. The challenges surrounding interpretability, validation under uncertainty, and societal trust demand that innovation in AV decision-making be paired with robust safeguards and inclusive regulatory frameworks.

Looking forward, the integration of next-generation infrastructure (such as 5G/6G and digital twins), along with the rise of human-centered and explainable AI, promises a future of autonomous systems that are not only intelligent but also accountable and aligned with human values. Achieving this balance will be essential for deploying scalable and trustworthy AI-based driving systems in the cities of tomorrow.

References:

1. Soica, A., & Gheorghe, C. (2025). Revolutionizing Urban Mobility: A Systematic Review of AI, IoT, and Predictive Analytics in Adaptive Traffic Control Systems. Electronics, 14(4), 719.
2. Gondhalekar, G., & Mathiyalagan, P. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Applications in Autonomous Vehicles: Navigating the Future of Transportation Systems. ITM Web of Conferences.
3. Pentela, V.K., & Deepalakshmi, P. (2025). Improved Threat Intelligence and Real-Time Protection with Next-Generation Firewall Solutions. IEEE Global Conference, 2025. IEEE
4. Muniyandy, P., El, T.D.Y.A.B., & Ebiary, D.D.N.P.D. (2025). Neuro-Symbolic Reinforcement Learning for Context-Aware Decision Making in Safe Autonomous Vehicles. ResearchGate.
5. Ramesh, J.V.N., Khan, H., & Chaudhari, T.D. (2025). Neuro-Symbolic Reinforcement Learning for Context-Aware Decision Making in Safe Autonomous Vehicles. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science, 2025.

JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 08, August 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

6. Haider, I., & Marchant, R. (2025). AI and Edge Computing in Smart Parking: Advancing Real-Time Space Allocation and Billing. ResearchGate.
7. Ceccarelli, A., Trapp, M., Bondavalli, A., & Schoitsch, E. (2024). Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security: SAFECOMP Workshops. Springer.
8. Soica, A., & Gheorghe, C. (2025). Revolutionizing Urban Mobility: A Systematic Review of AI, IoT, and Predictive Analytics in Adaptive Traffic Control Systems. Electronics, 14(4), 719.
9. Gondhalekar, G., & Mathiyalagan, P. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Applications in Autonomous Vehicles. ITM Web of Conferences, 2025.
10. Rosas Otero, M. (2025). From rules to rewards: AI path planning for autonomous driving within the CARLA-Apollo framework. Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya.
11. Sarker, S., Maples, B., Islam, I., Fan, M., et al. (2024). A Comprehensive Review on Traffic Datasets and Simulators for Autonomous Vehicles. arXiv:2412.14207. https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.14207
12. Liu, H., Cao, Z., Yan, X., Feng, S., & Lu, Q. (2025). Autonomous Vehicles: A Critical Review (2004–2024) and a Vision for the Future. TechRxiv. https://www.techrxiv.org/doi/full/10.36227/techrxiv.174857767.78237989
13. Wang, Y., Xing, S., Can, C., Li, R., et al. (2025). Generative AI for Autonomous Driving: Frontiers and Opportunities. arXiv:2505.08854. https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.08854
14. Fadaie, J. (2019). The State of Modeling, Simulation, and Data Utilization within Industry: An Autonomous Vehicles Perspective. arXiv:1910.06075. https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.06075
15. Niranjan, D.R., & VinayKarthik, B.C. (2021). Deep Learning Based Object Detection Model for Autonomous Driving Using CARLA. IEEE 2nd Intl. Conf. on Electronics and Sustainable Communication Systems. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9591747
16. Dasgupta, A., Gopi, O., & Chowdhury, A. (2023). On the Road to Autonomy: A Comparative Analysis of Multimodal Datasets. In Springer Conference on Recent Trends.
17. Rosero, L.A.R. (2024). Leveraging Modular Architectures and End-to-End Learning for Autonomous Driving in Unmapped Environments. USP Thesis Repository.
18. Ibrahum, A.D.M., Hussain, M., & Hong, J.E. (2024). Deep Learning Adversarial Attacks and Defenses in Autonomous Vehicles: A Systematic Review from a Safety Perspective. Artificial Intelligence Review. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-11014-8