Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023:

6.995, 2024 7.75

TRADITIONAL JOURNALISM VS. INTERNET JOURNALISM: CONFLICT OR COMPATIBILITY?

Tokhirova Sevinch Akram kizi

Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages 3rd year student in International Journalism sevinchtoxirova163@gmail.com +998915278264

Abstract: The emergence of internet journalism has sparked ongoing debate about its relationship with traditional journalism. While some view the rise of digital media as a threat to long-established journalistic standards, others see opportunities for synergy and innovation. This article explores the key differences, conflicts, and points of compatibility between traditional and internet journalism. It examines how both models can coexist, complement each other, and adapt to the evolving needs of modern information societies.

Keywords: Traditional journalism, internet journalism, media convergence, digital transformation, news ethics, media evolution, print vs. online, journalism standards

Introduction:

Journalism, as a cornerstone of democratic societies, has undergone dramatic changes in the digital age. Traditional journalism—typically associated with print newspapers, television, and radio—has long operated on carefully structured editorial processes, verified sources, and periodic publication cycles. However, the rise of internet journalism has disrupted this model, introducing real-time reporting, user interaction, and a decentralized flow of information. These shifts have created tensions but also opened up possibilities for collaboration, integration, and mutual improvement. This article explores whether traditional and internet journalism are inherently in conflict, or whether they can find common ground and work together in a rapidly evolving media environment. The shift from traditional to internet journalism has brought both opportunities and tensions that define the modern media landscape. While the two models are often framed in opposition, they are increasingly interconnected, influencing each other in practice and approach.

One of the primary contrasts lies in the **production cycle and publishing speed**. Traditional journalism follows structured processes: story assignment, interviews, editing, fact-checking, and publishing. This model prioritizes reliability and editorial responsibility. Internet journalism, in contrast, often operates under pressure to publish quickly. With breaking news unfolding in real time, journalists now report updates as events evolve, sometimes before all facts are verified. While this ensures immediacy, it may sacrifice completeness or accuracy, especially when platforms prioritize being first over being correct.

In terms of **accessibility**, internet journalism outpaces traditional formats. News is no longer limited to morning papers or scheduled broadcasts. Mobile apps, websites, and notifications deliver updates instantly, reaching users anytime, anywhere. This democratization of information has led to increased engagement and a more informed public. At the same time, traditional journalism still holds influence, especially in investigative reporting and in-depth analysis, where experience, resources, and credibility are critical.

Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023:

6.995, 2024 7.75

Another significant difference is in **audience interaction**. Traditional media historically operated on a one-way communication model—journalists produced content and audiences consumed it. Internet journalism, however, thrives on two-way interaction. Readers comment on articles, share news on social media, and even contribute to stories through tips, photos, or personal narratives. While this creates more inclusive journalism, it also opens the door to misinformation, trolling, and manipulation of narratives by coordinated online actors.

The **economics of journalism** also illustrate the contrast. Traditional media relied on subscriptions and advertising tied to print or broadcast. With the digital shift, advertising revenue moved online, but was split among thousands of outlets, influencers, and platforms. This change forced newsrooms to adapt to digital monetization strategies—such as paywalls, native advertising, or sponsored content—which may affect editorial independence. Internet journalism, particularly for start-ups or freelance creators, often faces financial instability and intense competition for visibility.

Despite these differences, the **boundaries between the two forms are increasingly blurred**. Established newspapers like *The New York Times*, *The Guardian*, and *Reuters* now operate digital-first models, combining investigative depth with real-time updates. Many television networks offer live streams, podcasts, and video clips through digital platforms. At the same time, successful digital media outlets like *Vox*, *ProPublica*, and *Axios* have adopted traditional journalistic values such as rigorous sourcing, long-form reporting, and editorial transparency.

Furthermore, **technological tools** developed for digital journalism are now enhancing traditional newsrooms. Data journalism, drone reporting, social media verification, and AI-assisted research are just a few examples of how modern tools support deeper, more accurate stories. This convergence of old and new strengthens journalistic impact, especially during major events such as elections, crises, or international conflicts.

Ethical standards remain a key area of overlap. Despite differences in format, **credibility and public trust** are vital to both traditional and digital journalism. Codes of ethics, such as those developed by the Society of Professional Journalists or the International Federation of Journalists, guide reporting practices in both spheres. The challenge lies in ensuring that speed and interactivity do not come at the cost of truth and accountability.

Ultimately, the perceived conflict is more a result of growing pains than fundamental incompatibility. The media ecosystem is evolving, and both traditional and internet journalism are adapting, often drawing from each other's strengths. Newsrooms now blend legacy practices with digital innovation, building hybrid models that can serve today's fast-moving, information-hungry audiences while upholding journalistic integrity.

Traditional journalism is built on core principles such as **editorial oversight**, **source verification**, **and journalistic ethics**. It is shaped by established institutions—newspapers, TV networks, and radio broadcasters—often with decades of reputation and responsibility. Its content typically undergoes multiple layers of review before publication, aiming to ensure factual accuracy and balanced reporting. As such, traditional journalism has long been associated with public trust, professional standards, and credibility.

In contrast, internet journalism emphasizes **speed, accessibility, and user engagement**. Online platforms allow instant publication, multimedia content, and direct interaction with readers. Anyone with internet access can technically become a publisher, resulting in a more diverse and decentralized news environment. Digital journalism includes not only news websites of

Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023:

6.995, 2024 7.75

established media outlets but also blogs, social media posts, podcasts, YouTube channels, and independent news platforms. This democratization of content creation has made news more interactive and widely available.

The **conflict between the two models** often centers around pace and quality. Traditional journalists sometimes criticize digital media for prioritizing clicks and speed over accuracy and context. Sensationalism, clickbait headlines, and the spread of unverified information are among the common criticisms aimed at internet journalism. Moreover, the reliance on advertising revenue in digital platforms has pushed some outlets toward quantity rather than quality, challenging the integrity of news production.

On the other hand, supporters of internet journalism argue that **traditional media have been slow to adapt** to changing reader expectations. They highlight how digital tools enable real-time updates, live coverage, multimedia storytelling, and direct feedback from readers—all of which enhance the news experience. Digital formats also allow for hyperlinks to original sources, long-form investigative work enriched with video and audio, and broader language access through instant translation tools.

Rather than being purely oppositional, **points of compatibility and collaboration are increasing**. Many legacy media institutions have developed successful digital branches. Newspapers now have websites and apps; radio and TV broadcasters stream content online and engage with audiences on social media. This hybrid model—often referred to as **media convergence**—blends the depth and ethics of traditional journalism with the speed and interactivity of digital platforms.

Examples of successful integration include real-time election coverage that combines expert commentary from traditional journalists with live social media updates, or investigative reports published in print and expanded into interactive web documentaries online. These models reflect how the strengths of each format can enhance journalistic value.

Moreover, traditional journalists are increasingly **using digital tools** such as audience analytics, data visualization, drone footage, and AI-assisted transcription to improve their reporting. At the same time, digital journalists often rely on the **editorial practices and professional codes of conduct** inherited from traditional media. Both forms now recognize the importance of media literacy, fact-checking, and the need to combat misinformation in a post-truth environment.

Conclusion:

Traditional and internet journalism represent two distinct yet increasingly interconnected approaches to news production and dissemination. While their differences in speed, format, and audience engagement have caused friction, they are not inherently incompatible. In fact, when combined thoughtfully, they can strengthen each other's weaknesses and broaden the impact of journalism. The future of media likely lies in **collaborative adaptation**, where legacy values of truth and responsibility merge with digital innovation and accessibility. Rather than competing, traditional and internet journalism can evolve together to serve a better-informed and more engaged public.

References:

- Deuze, M. (2003). The web and its journalisms: Considering the consequences of different types of news media online. *New Media & Society*.
- Pavlik, J. V. (2001). *Journalism and New Media*. Columbia University Press.

Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023:

6.995, 2024 7.75

• Singer, J. B. (2005). The political j-blogger: Normalizing a new media form to fit old norms and practices. *Journalism*.

- Bradshaw, P., & Rohumaa, L. (2011). The Online Journalism Handbook. Routledge.
- Newman, N. et al. (2023). Reuters Institute Digital News Report. University of Oxford.
- Kovach, B., & Rosenstiel, T. (2014). *The Elements of Journalism: What Newspeople Should Know and the Public Should Expect.* Crown Publishing.