

DESIGNING A RELIABLE SCALE FOR MATHEMATICAL ANXIETY ASSESSMENT

Rashid khan

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: Mathematical anxiety is a widespread phenomenon that can significantly impact an individual's performance and attitudes toward mathematics. Despite its prevalence, the measurement of mathematical anxiety lacks standardization, often relying on fragmented and inconsistent tools. This study aims to design a reliable and comprehensive scale for assessing mathematical anxiety, addressing the gaps in existing measurement methods. Through a multi-phase approach, the study involves the development, validation, and refinement of a new scale that captures the multifaceted nature of mathematical anxiety. Initially, an extensive literature review and expert consultations were conducted to identify key components of mathematical anxiety, such as cognitive, emotional, and physiological responses. Based on these findings, an initial pool of items was generated and tested through exploratory factor analysis with a diverse sample of participants. The scale was further refined using confirmatory factor analysis to ensure construct validity and reliability. The final scale demonstrates strong psychometric properties, including high internal consistency and test-retest reliability, making it a robust tool for both academic research and practical application. This newly developed scale provides educators, psychologists, and researchers with a valuable instrument for accurately measuring mathematical anxiety, enabling better identification and intervention strategies to support individuals affected by this condition.

Keywords: Mathematical Anxiety, Scale Development, Assessment, Measurement, Psychometrics, Reliability, Validity, Exploratory Factor Analysis, Confirmatory Factor Analysis, Cognitive Responses, Emotional Responses, Physiological Responses, Educational Psychology, Anxiety Measurement, Item Development.

INTRODUCTION

Mathematical anxiety is a well-documented psychological phenomenon characterized by feelings of tension, apprehension, or fear that interfere with the manipulation of numbers and the solving of mathematical problems in both academic and everyday contexts. This form of anxiety can significantly

hinder an individual's ability to perform mathematical tasks, affect their overall academic performance, and deter them from pursuing careers in fields that require strong mathematical skills. Despite its pervasive impact, the accurate assessment of mathematical anxiety remains a challenge due to the absence of a standardized and reliable measurement tool. Existing scales often fail to capture the full spectrum of cognitive, emotional, and physiological responses associated with mathematical anxiety, leading to incomplete or inconsistent assessments. Furthermore, many of these scales were developed decades ago and may not fully reflect the modern educational context or the diverse experiences of individuals across different demographic groups.

The need for a comprehensive and reliable scale to assess mathematical anxiety has become increasingly evident as educators and psychologists strive to understand and mitigate its effects. Such a tool would allow for more accurate identification of individuals experiencing high levels of anxiety, facilitate targeted interventions, and contribute to a deeper understanding of the factors contributing to mathematical anxiety. This study aims to design a robust scale that effectively measures the multi-dimensional nature of mathematical anxiety, incorporating cognitive, emotional, and physiological components. By employing a rigorous, multi-phase scale development process—including item generation, exploratory factor analysis, and confirmatory factor analysis—the study seeks to ensure the validity and reliability of the new measurement tool.

The development of a reliable mathematical anxiety scale is not only essential for academic research but also has practical implications for educational settings. With a more precise measurement tool, educators can better identify students who are at risk of mathematical anxiety, provide timely support, and implement evidence-based strategies to reduce anxiety levels. Additionally, a well-validated scale can enhance the effectiveness of interventions designed to improve mathematical performance and promote positive attitudes towards mathematics. By addressing the limitations of existing measurement tools and developing a comprehensive assessment scale, this study aims to contribute significantly to the field of educational psychology and support efforts to create a more inclusive and supportive learning environment for all students.

METHOD

The development of a reliable scale for mathematical anxiety assessment involves a systematic, multi-phase approach to ensure the scale's validity, reliability, and comprehensiveness. This method integrates both qualitative and quantitative techniques to create a robust tool that accurately measures the multidimensional nature of mathematical anxiety.

The initial phase of the study focused on generating a comprehensive pool of items that reflect the various dimensions of mathematical anxiety. To achieve this, a thorough review of the existing literature was conducted to identify common themes and gaps in current scales. This review was complemented by consultations with experts in educational psychology and mathematics education to gather insights into the cognitive, emotional, and physiological aspects of mathematical anxiety. From these efforts, an initial

set of 50 items was created, encompassing diverse anxiety-related scenarios and reactions, such as worry, fear of negative evaluation, physiological responses like sweating or increased heart rate, and avoidance behaviors.

To ensure content validity, these items were evaluated by a panel of experts, including psychologists, educators, and psychometricians. The panelists assessed each item for clarity, relevance, and appropriateness, providing feedback that was used to refine and modify the items. Items that were deemed redundant, ambiguous, or irrelevant were either revised or eliminated, resulting in a refined pool of 40 items. This refined item pool aimed to cover the full range of experiences associated with mathematical anxiety and was prepared for further testing.

The refined pool of 40 items was then subjected to a pilot test with a sample of 300 participants, including high school and university students, as well as adults, to ensure the scale's broad applicability. Participants were asked to respond to each item using a Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The responses were collected and analyzed using Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) to identify underlying factor structures and to determine which items grouped together to measure distinct dimensions of mathematical anxiety.

EFA revealed a four-factor structure corresponding to the cognitive, emotional, physiological, and behavioral dimensions of mathematical anxiety. Items with low factor loadings (< 0.4) or cross-loadings were removed to improve the clarity and coherence of the scale. The remaining items, now reduced to 30, showed strong factor loadings and good internal consistency for each identified factor, suggesting that the scale effectively captures the multidimensional aspects of mathematical anxiety.

Following the exploratory phase, a larger sample of 600 participants, drawn from diverse educational and demographic backgrounds, was recruited to validate the factor structure identified in the EFA. Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) was conducted using structural equation modeling to test the fit of the four-factor model. Various fit indices, including the Comparative Fit Index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI), and the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), were used to evaluate the model fit. The initial CFA model indicated an acceptable fit but suggested room for improvement.

Based on the modification indices and theoretical considerations, minor adjustments were made to the model, including correlating error terms for closely related items within the same factor. The revised model demonstrated an improved fit with CFI and TLI values exceeding 0.90 and an RMSEA below 0.08, indicating a well-fitting model. The final scale comprised 25 items with strong psychometric properties, including high internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha > 0.85$) and test-retest reliability over a four-week period ($r > 0.75$).

To further validate the scale, additional reliability and validity tests were conducted. Convergent validity was assessed by correlating the new scale with existing measures of general anxiety and mathematics achievement. The scale showed significant positive correlations with general anxiety measures and negative correlations with mathematics achievement, supporting its validity as a measure of

mathematical anxiety. Discriminant validity was also confirmed through low correlations with unrelated constructs, such as self-esteem, indicating that the scale specifically measures mathematical anxiety rather than general emotional distress or other psychological traits. Overall, this rigorous, multi-phase methodology ensured the development of a reliable, valid, and comprehensive scale for assessing mathematical anxiety. The final scale is suitable for use in both research and educational settings, providing a valuable tool for identifying individuals affected by mathematical anxiety and facilitating targeted interventions.

RESULTS

The results of this study demonstrate the successful development of a reliable and comprehensive scale for assessing mathematical anxiety, capturing its multifaceted nature across cognitive, emotional, physiological, and behavioral dimensions. The initial exploratory factor analysis (EFA) conducted on data from 300 participants revealed a clear four-factor structure that aligns with these dimensions, confirming that mathematical anxiety is not a unidimensional construct but rather comprises several interrelated components. Each factor exhibited strong internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha coefficients ranging from 0.82 to 0.88, indicating that the items within each factor reliably measure the same underlying construct. Items that did not meet the criteria for factor loadings or that showed high cross-loadings were removed, refining the scale to 30 items.

The confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) with a larger sample of 600 participants provided robust support for the four-factor model, demonstrating good fit indices (CFI = 0.92, TLI = 0.91, RMSEA = 0.06). These indices confirm that the proposed model fits the data well and that the scale accurately reflects the multidimensional nature of mathematical anxiety. The factor loadings for all retained items were significant ($p < 0.01$) and exceeded 0.50, further confirming that each item contributes meaningfully to its respective factor. The final scale, reduced to 25 items after further refinement, showed excellent psychometric properties, including high overall reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.89) and strong test-retest reliability ($r = 0.78$) over a four-week interval.

Convergent validity was evidenced by significant positive correlations between the new scale and existing measures of general anxiety ($r = 0.64$, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that while related, mathematical anxiety has distinct characteristics. Negative correlations with mathematics achievement ($r = -0.47$, $p < 0.01$) reinforced the notion that higher levels of mathematical anxiety are associated with lower performance in mathematics-related tasks. Discriminant validity was supported through low correlations with unrelated constructs, such as self-esteem ($r = 0.15$, $p > 0.05$), highlighting that the scale specifically measures anxiety related to mathematics rather than a broader range of emotional responses.

Overall, these results affirm that the newly developed scale is both a reliable and valid tool for measuring mathematical anxiety. It captures the complexity of the construct through its comprehensive approach, making it suitable for use in diverse educational and psychological contexts. The scale's strong psychometric properties ensure its effectiveness for identifying individuals experiencing high levels of

Published Date: - 02-09-2024

mathematical anxiety and for guiding interventions aimed at reducing anxiety and improving mathematical performance.

DISCUSSION

The development of a reliable scale for assessing mathematical anxiety provides valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of this psychological phenomenon and its impact on learning and performance. The results of this study demonstrate that mathematical anxiety is a complex construct encompassing cognitive, emotional, physiological, and behavioral dimensions. The successful identification and validation of these four factors highlight the need for a comprehensive assessment tool that goes beyond simple self-report measures or unidimensional scales. By capturing the full spectrum of anxiety-related experiences, the newly developed scale offers a more nuanced understanding of how mathematical anxiety manifests and affects individuals in different ways.

The high internal consistency and reliability of the scale suggest that it is a robust tool for accurately measuring mathematical anxiety across various contexts and populations. The significant correlations with general anxiety measures and mathematics achievement further validate the scale's effectiveness in identifying those who are particularly vulnerable to anxiety in mathematical contexts. These findings underscore the importance of recognizing mathematical anxiety as a specific and distinct type of anxiety that can independently influence educational outcomes, separate from general emotional distress. This distinction is critical for educators and psychologists aiming to design targeted interventions that specifically address mathematical anxiety rather than general anxiety or other unrelated psychological conditions.

Moreover, the study's results have practical implications for educational practice and policy. With a reliable tool to measure mathematical anxiety, educators can more accurately identify students who are at risk and develop tailored support strategies to help them overcome their anxiety. This could include providing additional resources, creating a supportive classroom environment, or using anxiety-reducing techniques such as mindfulness or cognitive-behavioral strategies. By addressing mathematical anxiety directly, educators can help students build confidence in their mathematical abilities, potentially improving both their performance and their attitudes towards mathematics over time.

The findings also suggest that mathematical anxiety is not a static trait but can be influenced by various factors, including teaching methods, classroom environment, and individual coping strategies. Future research should explore how these factors interact to influence mathematical anxiety, potentially leading to more effective intervention strategies. Additionally, longitudinal studies could provide further insight into how mathematical anxiety develops and changes over time, particularly in response to different educational experiences and life events.

CONCLUSION

The development of a reliable scale for assessing mathematical anxiety represents a significant advancement in understanding and addressing this pervasive issue within educational and psychological contexts. This study has successfully created and validated a comprehensive tool that captures the multifaceted nature of mathematical anxiety, incorporating cognitive, emotional, physiological, and behavioral dimensions. The scale's robust psychometric properties, including high internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and strong validity, affirm its effectiveness as a measurement instrument.

The findings underscore the importance of a nuanced approach to assessing mathematical anxiety, which is crucial for accurately identifying individuals who struggle with anxiety related to mathematics. By addressing this specific form of anxiety, educators and psychologists can implement more targeted and effective interventions, ultimately improving both academic performance and overall attitudes towards mathematics. The scale's ability to differentiate mathematical anxiety from general anxiety and other psychological constructs enhances its utility in both research and practical applications.

Furthermore, the study highlights the potential for this scale to inform future research on mathematical anxiety and its impact. Longitudinal studies and explorations of various influencing factors, such as educational practices and individual coping mechanisms, could provide deeper insights into how mathematical anxiety evolves and how it can be mitigated. By continuing to refine and validate this measurement tool, researchers and practitioners can better understand and address the challenges associated with mathematical anxiety, promoting more positive and supportive learning environments for all students. In summary, this scale offers a valuable contribution to the field by providing a reliable and comprehensive measure of mathematical anxiety. It equips educators, researchers, and practitioners with an essential tool for identifying and addressing mathematical anxiety, ultimately supporting efforts to enhance educational outcomes and foster a more inclusive and effective learning environment.

REFERENCES

1. Abbasi, M., Samadzadeh, M., & Shahbazzadegan, B. (2013). Study of mathematics anxiety in high school students and its relationship with self-esteem and teachers' personality characteristics. *Procedia –Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 83, 672–677.
2. Ashcraft, M. H. (2002). Math anxiety : Personal, educational, and cognitive consequences. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 11(5), 181–185.
3. Ashcraft, M. H., & Moore, A. M. (2009). Mathematics anxiety and the affective drop in performance. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 27(3), 197–205.
4. Baloğlu, M., & Balgalmış, E. (2010). The adaptation of the mathematics anxiety rating scale-elementary form into Turkish, language validity, and preliminary psychometric investigation. *Kuram ve Uygulamada Eğitim Bilimleri / Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 10(1), 101–110.
5. Beilock, S. L., & Maloney, E. A. (2015). Math anxiety: A factor in math achievement not to be ignored. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 2(1), 4–15.

6. Chang, H., & Beilock, S. L. (2012). The math anxiety-math performance link and its relation to individual and environmental factors: A review of current behavioral and psychophysiological research. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 10(1), 33–38.
7. Cipora, K., Szczygieł, M., Willmes, K., & Nuerk, H. (2015). Math anxiety assessment with the abbreviated math anxiety scale : Applicability and usefulness : Insights from the Polish adaptation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6(1), 1–16. Retrieved from
8. Derek, R. H., Mahadevan, R., Bare, R. L., & Hunt, M. K. (2003). The abbreviated math anxiety scale (AMAS): Construction, validity, and reliability. *Assessment*, 10(2), 178–182.
9. Field, A. P. (2009). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS* (3rd ed., Vol. 8057). London, England: SAGE Publication Ltd.
10. Heydari, H., Abdi, M., & Rostami, M. (2013). The survey of relationship between the degree of mathematics anxiety in high school students and the personality characteristics of their mathematics teachers. *Procedia -Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 84, 1133–1137.