JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 11, November 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

PREVENTIVE HYGIENE IN LABORATORY PERSONNEL WORKING WITH HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES: MEDICINE AND SAFETY

Topvoldiyev Murodjon

Andijan state medical institute

Abstract: Laboratory personnel are frequently exposed to hazardous chemical, biological, physical, and radioactive agents, posing significant risks to their health and safety. This study analyzes preventive hygiene measures in laboratory settings from both medical and occupational safety perspectives. Through literature review and analysis of two practical case examples, the research examines risks, safety protocols, and medical monitoring strategies for laboratory workers handling toxic chemicals and biological disinfectants. Findings highlight the critical roles of engineering controls, personal protective equipment, Chemical Hygiene Plans, designated work areas, and continuous training in reducing occupational hazards. The study also emphasizes the importance of regular medical surveillance and the application of control banding strategies for resource-limited laboratories. The results provide practical recommendations to enhance laboratory safety, promote a strong safety culture, and ensure the long-term health of laboratory staff.

Keywords: Laboratory safety; hazardous substances; preventive hygiene; Chemical Hygiene Plan (CHP); personal protective equipment (PPE); medical monitoring; occupational health; control banding; emergency preparedness

Introduction

Laboratory personnel are highly likely to be exposed to hazardous chemical substances, biological agents, physical hazards, and radioactive materials. In such an environment, implementing preventive hygiene and safety measures not only protects employees' health but also ensures the quality of scientific research. OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration, USA) laboratory safety standards establish precise rules and requirements to protect laboratory staff.

Exposure to hazardous chemical substances in the laboratory can occur through inhalation, skin contact, or bacterial/frequent physical interactions. Therefore, organizational and technical measures, employee training, the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and emergency response plans are of critical importance.

In the context of Uzbekistan, regulatory standards for preventive hygiene and chemical safety, as well as employee competency, are highly relevant. For example, official documents from the Ministry of Higher and Secondary Specialized Education of Uzbekistan mandate personal protective equipment and occupational safety measures for personnel working with hazardous

JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 11, November 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

substances.

The purpose of this article is to analyze preventive hygiene measures for laboratory staff working with hazardous substances from the perspectives of medicine and safety, illustrated through two practical case examples.

Methods

This study uses an analytical and case-based methodology. The following approaches were employed:

Literature Review: Published sources regarding laboratory safety standards recommended by OSHA and other international organizations, chemical hygiene plans (CHP), personal protective equipment (PPE), and emergency procedures were reviewed.

Case Analysis: Two laboratory staff members were analyzed to examine the risks and preventive measures involved when handling hazardous substances. Cases were either real or representative, analyzed from the perspective of safety policies, medical outcomes, and operational risks.

Recommendations Development: Based on the cases, strategies for improving preventive hygiene and enhancing safety were formulated.

Results

Case 1

Context: A young laboratory worker (Staff A) works in a chemistry laboratory using highly toxic solvents during evaporation procedures.

Risks: The worker faces inhalation hazards, particularly if a fume hood is not fully operational. According to OSHA standards, engineering controls such as fume hoods are mandatory in such procedures.

Preventive Measures: The laboratory has implemented a Chemical Hygiene Plan (CHP). Staff follow the plan, using personal protective equipment including laboratory coats, chemical-resistant gloves, and safety goggles.

Medical Monitoring: The employee undergoes biannual medical check-ups to assess respiratory function and skin condition. Designated biomarkers, such as methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) or other solvent metabolites, are monitored.

Outcome: These measures have reduced the likelihood of solvent-related harm. Regular external

JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 11, November 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

inspections and performance checks of the fume hood are conducted to ensure proper functioning.

Case 2

Context: A laboratory worker (Staff B) in a biological lab uses chemical disinfectants (e.g., formaldehyde or hydrogen peroxide) while handling sterile cultures.

Risks: Disinfectant vapors, skin contact, and inhalation hazards are present. Simultaneously, the worker handles microorganisms, creating combined chemical and biological hazards.

Preventive Measures: Work areas are designated for specific procedures, and only trained personnel perform disinfection. OSHA standards mandate separate areas for high-risk substances, such as carcinogens or reproductive toxins.

Protective Equipment: Employees regularly use face shields, respirators, chemical-resistant lab coats, and gloves. Guidelines such as Prudent Practices in the Laboratory also recommend appropriate PPE for high-risk chemicals.

Emergency Procedures and Training: Emergency eyewash and safety showers are available. Staff receive regular training on CHP compliance, chemical hazard communication, and emergency drills for fire or explosion scenarios.

Medical Supervision: After disinfection activities, workers are evaluated for skin and respiratory health. If inhalation symptoms occur (e.g., cough or shortness of breath), prompt medical consultation and biomarker testing are conducted.

Discussion

These two cases illustrate key lessons for preventive hygiene in laboratory settings.

In Case 1, chemical handling emphasizes the importance of **engineering controls**, specifically fume hoods, which are highly effective in reducing exposure. This approach aligns with OSHA and international recommendations. Staff training within the Chemical Hygiene Plan framework and ongoing monitoring are essential for minimizing risk. OSHA standards require the creation and annual review of CHPs.

In Case 2, working with high-risk substances such as chemical disinfectants or carcinogens highlights the need for **designated areas** and strict procedural controls. OSHA mandates specialized areas, containment, and procedures for such substances. Comprehensive PPE use and emergency protocols, including safety showers and eyewash stations, are critical.

Medical supervision allows long-term health monitoring of employees. Preventive medical

JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 11, November 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

assessment is an integral part of laboratory hygiene, helping not only to manage exposure risks but also to detect and treat potential health problems from occupational hazards.

Challenges include:

Resource Limitations: Smaller labs or institutions may lack necessary infrastructure, such as fume hoods.

Training and Safety Culture: Workers and supervisors may not fully understand or comply with safety culture standards, increasing risk.

Monitoring and Updating: CHPs and risk management protocols require regular review and updates.

Medical Resources: Not all organizations have access to qualified medical services or laboratory testing for biomarkers.

Additionally, **control banding** is an effective management approach. Control banding evaluates risk and prescribes appropriate control measures for unclassified occupational hazards, providing a practical solution for smaller labs.

Conclusion

Preventive hygiene and safety measures for laboratory personnel working with hazardous substances require an integrated approach from both medical and safety perspectives. The two cases demonstrate that:

Engineering controls (fume hoods), Chemical Hygiene Plans, and training are effective in reducing chemical exposure.

For high-risk substances, designated areas, complete protective equipment, and emergency preparedness are essential.

Medical monitoring is a vital component of preventive hygiene, enabling long-term health surveillance.

Recommendations:

Laboratories should establish, continuously review, and update a Chemical Hygiene Plan.

JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND SOCIAL SCIENCE
eISSN 2229-3113 pISSN 2229-3205
Volume 15 Issue 11, November 2025
Impact factor: 2019: 4.679 2020: 5.015 2021: 5.436, 2022: 5.242, 2023: 6.995, 2024 7.75

A strong safety culture should be promoted through regular and thorough employee training.

Resource-limited institutions may adopt control banding approaches.

Medical surveillance programs, including biomarker testing, should be established for staff health monitoring.

References:

1. OSHA. "Laboratories – Hazard Recognition and Solutions." OSHA, osha.gov.
2. 29 CFR § 1910.1450 – Occupational Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals in Laboratories. law.cornell.edu
3. OSHA. "Laboratory Safety Guidance." OSHA, osha.gov. PDF manual.
4. OSHA. App A: National Research Council Recommendations Concerning Chemical Hygiene in Laboratories.
5. University of Nevada, Reno. "General Laboratory Safety." Chemical Hygiene Plan, EH&S.
6. University of Nevada, Reno. "Chemical Hygiene Guide for Lab Workers." EH&S.
7. Virginia Commonwealth University. "Chemical Hygiene Plan." Occupational Health and Safety. healthsafety.vcu.edu
8. National Academies. "Prudent Practices in the Laboratory: Handling and Management of Chemical Hazards." NCBI Bookshelf.
9. Samiyeva, G. Chemical Safety and Laboratory Culture Fundamentals. Ijodkor Oqituvchi.
10. Namangan State University. Occupational Safety in Daily Life. www.namdu.uz
11. Control Banding Approach. Wikipedia.
12. Principles of Chemical Storage. Wikipedia.
13. Laboratory Safety Rules in Soil Science. S.M. Ishoqova.