

PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATIONS OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND THEIR INTERPRETATION IN CONTEMPORARY THOUGHT

Farhan Mangur

Philosophical researcher

Abstract

Human rights are widely regarded as universal moral principles that protect human dignity, freedom, and equality. However, the philosophical foundations of human rights and their interpretation remain subjects of ongoing debate. Different philosophical traditions offer diverse justifications for human rights, ranging from natural law theory to modern liberal and critical perspectives. This article analyzes the philosophical foundations of human rights and examines how these foundations shape contemporary interpretations. The study highlights the tension between universality and cultural diversity and emphasizes the importance of philosophical reflection in addressing modern human rights challenges.

Keywords

Human rights, philosophy, human dignity, universality, ethics, political philosophy

Introduction

Human rights occupy a central position in contemporary moral, legal, and political discourse. They are commonly understood as fundamental entitlements inherent to all human beings, regardless of nationality, culture, or social status. Human rights serve as a normative framework for protecting individuals from injustice, discrimination, and abuse of power. Despite their widespread acceptance, the philosophical justification and interpretation of human rights remain contested.

Philosophical inquiry seeks to address fundamental questions concerning the nature and origin of human rights. Are human rights natural and universal, or are they socially constructed? On what moral basis can human rights claims be justified? These questions are especially relevant in a globalized world characterized by cultural diversity and conflicting value systems.

The aim of this article is to analyze the philosophical foundations of human rights and to explore how these foundations influence contemporary interpretations and debates.

Natural Law and the Origins of Human Rights

One of the earliest philosophical foundations of human rights can be found in natural law theory. According to this tradition, human rights are derived from human nature and universal moral laws. Thinkers such as Thomas Aquinas argued that all humans possess inherent dignity and moral worth, which gives rise to natural rights independent of political authority.

In the modern period, natural law ideas influenced philosophers such as John Locke, who emphasized natural rights to life, liberty, and property. Locke's theory played a crucial role in shaping modern conceptions of human rights and democratic governance. From this perspective, human rights are pre-political and serve as limits on state power.

Enlightenment and Liberal Philosophical Perspectives

Enlightenment philosophy significantly contributed to the development of human rights



theory by emphasizing reason, autonomy, and individual freedom. Immanuel Kant provided a moral foundation for human rights based on human dignity and rational agency. According to Kant, every human being must be treated as an end in themselves, not merely as a means to an end.

Liberal philosophical traditions build upon these ideas by focusing on individual rights, equality before the law, and protection of personal freedoms. Contemporary liberal theorists argue that human rights are essential for safeguarding autonomy and ensuring fair social cooperation. This approach underlies many modern human rights declarations and legal frameworks.

Critiques and Alternative Perspectives

Despite their philosophical influence, liberal and natural law theories have faced criticism. Some philosophers argue that claims of universality reflect Western cultural assumptions and may overlook non-Western moral traditions. Cultural relativists contend that human rights should be interpreted within specific cultural and historical contexts rather than imposed as universal norms.

Critical theories, including Marxist and postcolonial perspectives, question whether human rights adequately address structural inequality and power relations. These approaches emphasize social and economic rights and highlight the limitations of purely individualistic interpretations of human rights.

Contemporary Interpretation of Human Rights

In contemporary thought, human rights are increasingly viewed as dynamic and evolving concepts. Philosophers recognize that while human rights aim to be universal, their interpretation must consider cultural diversity, historical context, and social change. International human rights discourse reflects this tension by seeking common moral standards while allowing for contextual application.

Modern challenges such as globalization, migration, digital surveillance, and environmental degradation have expanded the scope of human rights debates. New interpretations emphasize collective rights, environmental rights, and digital rights, demonstrating the adaptability of human rights philosophy.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the philosophical foundations of human rights are diverse and complex, encompassing natural law, Enlightenment rationalism, liberal theory, and critical perspectives. These foundations shape how human rights are understood, justified, and applied in contemporary society.

Philosophical analysis reveals that human rights are not static principles but evolving moral concepts that require continuous reflection and dialogue. Balancing universality with cultural diversity remains a central challenge. A deeper understanding of the philosophical roots of human rights is essential for addressing contemporary ethical and political issues and for promoting human dignity in an increasingly interconnected world.

Literature:

1. Шарипова, Н. В., Худайберганов, А. С., Рахимов, Б. Б., & Наврузов, Э. Б. Гигиенические требования к безопасности пищевой продукции. *СанПиН РУз*,



(0283-10).

2. ГИГИЕНИЧЕСКИЕ, Н. И., & УЗБЕКИСТАН, Н. Р. Гигиенические требования к производству, обороту и нормированию пищевых добавок.
3. Исаилова, Г. М., Эшмурадова, С. Т., & Тураев, И. Э. (2010). ГИГИЕНИЧЕСКАЯ ОЦЕНКА ФАКТОРОВ РИСКА ЗАГРЯЗНЕНИЯ МЯСОМОЛОЧНОЙ ПРОДУКЦИИ, ПРОИЗВОДИМОЙ В УСЛОВИЯХ МАЛОВОДЬЯ. *Профилактическая и клиническая медицина*, (1), 41-43.
4. Худайберганов, А. С., Тураев, И. Э., Турниёзова, В. М., & Каримова, Н. О. ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ ДИЕТИЧЕСКО-ПРОФИЛАКТИЧЕСКОГО ПИТАНИЯ В ПАНСИОНАТАХ ДЛЯ ВЕТЕРАНОВ ВОЙНЫ И ТРУДА. *ҲУРМАТЛИ СОҒЛИГИМИЗ ПОСБОНЛАРИ!*.
5. Makhamatov, U., Malikov, N., Pulatov, S., Yusupov, M., Ibragimov, U., Kenjayeva, K., & Umarov, S. (2026). A HEALTHY LIFESTYLE IS THE GUARANTEE OF HEALTH. *Shokh Articles Library*, 1(1).
6. Niyozova, N. S. (2023). Tibbiyat oliv o 'quv yurtlarida O 'zbekiston tarixini o 'qitishning dolzarbliji va uni takomillashtirishda ilg 'or pedagogik texnologiyalarning o 'rni (Doctoral dissertation, Tibbiyat oliv ta'lim muassasalarida ijtimoiy fanlarni o 'qitishning dolzarb muammolari).
7. Niyozova, N. (2024). FEATURES OF THE HYGIENIC-CULTURAL APPROACH TO PRODUCT PRODUCTION. *Western European Journal of Historical Events and Social Science*, 2(10), 32-33.
8. Niyozova, N. S. (2024). TIBBIYOT XODIMI FAOLIYATIDA MULOQOT MADANIYATINI SHAKLLANTIRISHNING O 'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 5, 142-145.
9. Makhamatov, U., Malikov, N., Po'latov, S., Yusupov, M., Ibragimov, U., Kenjayeva, X., & Umarov, S. (2026). ORGANIZING HEALTHY AND SAFE NUTRITION IN OSTEOPOROSIS AFTER COVID-19. *Shokh Articles Library*, 1(1).
10. Arutyunov, G. P., Tarlovskaya, E. I., Arutyunov, A. G., Belenkov, Y. N., Konradi, A. O., Lopatin, Y. M., ... & Fatenkov, O. V. (2021). International register "analysis of chronic non-infectious diseases dynamics after COVID-19 infection in adult patients (ACTIV SARS-CoV-2)". *Kardiologiya*, 60(11), 30-34.
11. Абдуллаева, Ч. А., Камилова, У. К., Расулова, З. Д., Ибабекова, Ш. Р., & Сафаева, Л. Ш. (2014). Изучение процессов ремоделирования сердца и дисфункции эндотелия у больных с хронической сердечной недостаточностью. *Российский кардиологический журнал*, 5(109), 3.
12. Камилова, У. К., Расулова, З. Д., Закирова, Г. А., & Тошев, Б. Б. (2019). Особенности сердечно-сосудистого ремоделирования, уровня нейрогуморальных факторов в зависимости от степени хронической сердечной недостаточности и дисфункции почек. *Кардиоваскулярная терапия и профилактика*, 18(3), 35-40.

