eISSN: 2229-3213 pISSN: 2229-3205
The Journal of Applied Science and Social Science
Volume 16,Issue 02 February, 2026

MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM AND FUNCTIONAL CAPABILITIES OF 6TH-9TH GRADE STUDENTS: PEDAGOGICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES

Eshboyev Shaxriyor Furqat o'g'li
Teacher of the Department of Theory and Methods of Physical Culture, Jizzakh State Pedagogical University
E-mail: eshboyevshakhriyor55@gmail.com

Abstract. The period of middle school education, encompassing students in the sixth through ninth grades (typically ages 11 to 15), represents a critical and highly sensitive phase of human ontogenesis. This stage is defined by profound neuroendocrine restructuring and the heterochronous development of various biological systems. This article investigates the specific morphological changes occurring within the musculoskeletal system and the corresponding shifts in the functional capabilities of the cardiorespiratory and autonomic nervous systems during early to mid-adolescence. By synthesizing contemporary data from sports physiology and pedagogical dissertations, this study highlights the distinct disparities between rapid skeletal elongation and the lagging maturation of muscular strength and vascular capacity. The findings underscore the specific physiological vulnerabilities of this age cohort, particularly concerning postural deformities and cardiovascular stress under improper physical loads. The research advocates for a scientifically grounded pedagogical approach in physical education that prioritizes dynamic coordination, spinal stabilization, and carefully moderated conditioning to ensure optimal morphofunctional development.

Introduction In the contemporary theory of physical culture and sports pedagogy, the precise alignment of physical workloads with the biological maturity of the student remains a foundational didactic principle. Students in the sixth through ninth grades undergo the complex biological transition of puberty, a developmental stage characterized by an intense yet highly uneven maturation of bodily systems [1]. A primary concern within the physical education discipline is the heterochronous nature of this biological growth. During this period, the skeletal framework experiences a rapid acceleration in longitudinal growth, driven by intense cellular proliferation in the epiphyseal plates of long bones. However, this osteogenic surge frequently outpaces the corresponding development of the muscular and fascial systems, leading to temporary deficits in motor coordination, joint stability, and overall physical endurance. Furthermore, the functional capacities of the autonomic nervous system and the cardiorespiratory apparatus undergo significant strain as they attempt to sustain the metabolic demands of a rapidly expanding body mass [2]. The primary objective of this investigation is to systematically analyze the state of the musculoskeletal system and the functional capabilities of middle school adolescents. By synthesizing empirical data from recent pedagogical and physiological dissertations, this study aims to provide a scientifically robust framework for optimizing physical education curricula and ensuring the safe, harmonious physical preparation of students.

Methods. To comprehensively evaluate the morphological and functional parameters of adolescents, this study relies on a systematic theoretical analysis of empirical data derived from standardized anthropometric and physiological assessment methodologies widely utilized in contemporary sports science. The theoretical framework integrates longitudinal observational data focused on the morphological development of the spine, extremities, and articular apparatus of adolescents. The anthropometric evaluations reviewed herein involve the tracking of body length, body mass, and chest circumference, contextualized against the biological age of the subjects to account for individual variations in pubertal onset [3]. To assess functional capabilities, the methodology examines data generated from standard physiological testing protocols applied in physical education environments. These include dynamometry to measure absolute and relative muscular strength, spirometry to evaluate vital lung capacity, and

standardized cardiovascular stress tests, such as the Ruffier-Dickson index, to determine the adaptive capacity and recovery efficiency of the myocardium following measured physical exertion. The synthesis of these diverse methodological approaches allows for a precise pedagogical interpretation of the physiological constraints and developmental windows present during the middle school years.

Results. The analysis of morphological data indicates that the musculoskeletal system of students in the sixth through ninth grades is in a state of extreme plasticity and vulnerability. The ossification process is highly active but remains incomplete; the skeletal structure contains a significant proportion of cartilaginous tissue, rendering the bones highly flexible but critically susceptible to deformation under excessive or asymmetrical static loads [4]. This phenomenon frequently manifests as varying degrees of scoliosis, kyphosis, or lordosis when students are subjected to prolonged sedentary periods during academic studies or incorrect biomechanical lifting in daily life. Concurrently, while total muscle mass increases significantly due to hormonal shifts, the qualitative development of muscular tissue is uneven. The elongation of muscle fibers trails behind bone growth, creating increased passive tension on tendons and ligaments, which temporarily impairs flexibility and predisposes the adolescent to soft tissue microtraumas. Functionally, the cardiorespiratory system exhibits a significant adaptational lag. The volume of the heart increases rapidly, yet the development of the peripheral vascular network is often delayed. This morphological discrepancy leads to transient periods of adolescent hypertension, vasomotor instability, and inefficient oxygen delivery to working muscles during sustained physical exertion [5]. Consequently, the maximum oxygen uptake (VO2 max) and overall aerobic endurance of this demographic are disproportionately lower when compared to late adolescents, indicating a diminished capacity for prolonged, high-intensity aerobic and anaerobic work.

Discussion. The physiological and morphological realities of the adolescent body necessitate a highly specialized, scientifically grounded approach to the pedagogy of physical education. The findings of this analysis strongly indicate that subjecting students in the sixth through ninth grades to maximal strength training, heavy axial loading, or exhaustive endurance protocols is not only pedagogically ineffective but inherently dangerous to their long-term physical development. Because the adolescent spine is highly malleable and the supporting core musculature is still stabilizing, physical culture instructors must prioritize exercises that promote symmetrical muscular development, dynamic joint mobility, and the active correction of posture [6]. The integration of complex, multi-planar movements—such as those found in preparatory exercises for traditional sports, gymnastics, and dynamic balance drills—serves to enhance neuromuscular coordination without imposing dangerous compressive forces on the developing vertebral column. Furthermore, understanding the functional limitations of the adolescent cardiovascular system demands that physical education lessons be structured with careful management of work-to-rest ratios. High-intensity anaerobic intervals should be utilized sparingly, while the primary focus should remain on developing a broad aerobic base through moderate, varied, and technically engaging physical activities [7]. Ultimately, the successful physical preparation of middle school students requires the educator to meticulously adapt the pedagogical environment to the profound and delicate biological transformations occurring within the student, ensuring that physical loads stimulate growth rather than inhibit it.

References

[1] Balsevich, V. K. (2010). Ontokinesiology of Man. Moscow: Theory and Practice of Physical Culture Publishing.
[2] Farfel, V. S. (2011). Control of Movements in Sports. Moscow: Physical Culture and Sport.
[3] Guba, V. P. (2018). Fundamentals of Sports Pedagogy and Physiology in Youth Athletics. Moscow: Sport Publishing.

[4] Khrisanfova, E. N. (2015). Age-related Morphology and Physiology. Moscow: Moscow University Press.
[5] Sonkin, V. D. (2011). Development of Muscular Energetics and Working Capacity in Ontogenesis. Moscow: Scientific Center of Children's Health.
[6] Matveev, L. P. (2010). General Theory of Sports Training and Physical Education. Kyiv: Olympic Literature.
[7] Platonov, V. N. (2015). The System for Preparing Athletes in Olympic Sports: General Theory and Its Practical Applications. Kyiv: Olympic Literature.