THE ROLE OF PARENTHETICAL CLAUSES IN POLYCOMPONENT COMPOSITE SENTENCES

A.A.Latibjonov

Andijan State Institute of Foreign Languages

Abstract

This article examines the role of parenthetical clauses (PCs) in the structure of polycomponential composite sentences within English discourse, focusing on their structural, semantic, and pragmatic functions. Parenthetical constructions are analyzed as integral elements that contribute not only to syntactic complexity but also to discourse organization and speaker subjectivity. The study is based on examples drawn from contemporary English literary texts, where parenthetical clauses frequently occur in interaction with multiple predicative units. The findings reveal that PCs function as discourse markers, modal operators, and expressive devices that enhance textual cohesion and communicative effectiveness. They serve to indicate information sources, express emotional and evaluative attitudes, organize discourse flow, and establish interaction between narrator and reader. The study also highlights the typological relevance of parenthetical constructions across English, Uzbek, and Russian, demonstrating their role as universal mechanisms for realizing subjective meaning in language.

Keywords

 parenthetical clause, polycomponential composite sentence, discourse marker, pragmatics, modality, syntax

INTRODUCTION

In modern linguistics, the study of syntactic structures has increasingly shifted from purely formal analysis toward functional and discourse-oriented perspectives. Within this framework, parenthetical clauses (PCs) have attracted considerable attention due to their unique position in sentence structure. Traditionally regarded as peripheral or optional elements, parenthetical constructions are now recognized as essential components that contribute significantly to the communicative and pragmatic organization of discourse.

Parenthetical clauses are defined as syntactic units that are not grammatically obligatory within the main clause but provide additional information, express the speaker's attitude, or indicate the source of information. Unlike core sentence elements, they are syntactically detachable but semantically and pragmatically integrated into the overall structure.

The importance of parenthetical constructions becomes particularly evident in polycomponential composite sentences, which consist of multiple predicative units organized in hierarchical or sequential relations. Such sentences represent a higher level of syntactic complexity and are typical of narrative and literary discourse. In these structures, parenthetical clauses serve as linking, modifying, and evaluative elements that enhance coherence and expressiveness.

Previous studies in Uzbek linguistics (O'zbek tili grammatikasi, 1976; Jamoliddinova, 2009; Xoshimov, 2022, 2024) have emphasized the structural and functional properties of parenthetical units, identifying them as a distinct type of syntactic relation—introduktive (introductory) connection—alongside coordination and subordination. This perspective highlights the independent yet integrated nature of parenthetical constructions within complex sentences. [11:24]

Despite these advances, the role of parenthetical clauses in polycomponential composite sentences, especially from a discourse-pragmatic perspective, remains insufficiently explored. Therefore, the main objective of this study is to investigate:

- the structural position of parenthetical clauses in polycomponential composite sentences;
- their semantic functions;
- their pragmatic and discourse roles.

METHODS

This study adopts a complex (integrated) methodological approach combining structural-syntactic, semantic, and discourse-pragmatic analysis.

The research material consists of examples from contemporary English literary discourse, supplemented by comparative data from Uzbek and Russian. Literary texts were selected due to their rich use of complex sentence structures and expressive devices.

Descriptive Method. The descriptive method was employed to identify and systematize parenthetical clauses within polycomponential composite sentences. This stage focused on:

- the formal features of parenthetical constructions;
- their syntactic position within the sentence;
- their interaction with other predicative units.

Through this method, a general typology of parenthetical clauses was established.

Contextual Analysis. Each example was analyzed within its discourse context in order to determine:

- the relationship between speaker and addressee;
- the communicative situation;
- the pragmatic intention behind the use of parenthetical clauses.

This approach made it possible to reveal not only structural but also functional and interpretive aspects of PCs.

Structural Modeling. Structural modeling was used to identify recurring patterns of parenthetical clauses in polycomponential composite sentences. The analysis showed that PCs may appear:

- at the beginning (initial position),
- in the middle (medial insertion),
- at the end (final position).

These positional variations correlate with different communicative functions such as framing, emphasis, and evaluation.

Comparative Analysis. A comparative approach was applied to examine parenthetical constructions across English, Uzbek, and Russian. Examples such as:

- *"As you see, we are not working"* [12:19]
- *"Ko'rib turganingizdek, biz ishlamayapmiz"* [12:19]
- *"Как вы видите, мы не работаем"* [12:19]

demonstrate that parenthetical constructions function as universal discourse mechanisms, despite structural differences between languages.

Methodological Synthesis. The integration of these methods allowed for a comprehensive analysis of parenthetical clauses as:

- structural components,
- semantic carriers,
- pragmatic markers.

This multi-level approach ensures the reliability and depth of the findings.

RESULTS

The analysis demonstrates that parenthetical clauses in polycomponential composite sentences appear in several structural-semantic models and perform distinct communicative functions.

PCs Indicating Source of Information. In this model, parenthetical clauses indicate that the information presented is derived from a commonly accepted source such as a proverb or shared knowledge.

Example: *"As the saying goes, 'If you escape a calamity with your life, there is bound to be good fortune to follow.'"* [7:68-69]

The construction *"As the saying goes"* functions as a discourse marker that frames the statement as a generalized truth. It enhances the objectivity and authority of the message while preparing the reader for the quoted content.

Modal and Expressive PCs. Parenthetical clauses frequently express emotional, evaluative, or modal meanings, especially in inner speech.

Example 1: *"I thought, what the hell, if he wants to come, let him come."* [7:108]

The expression *"what the hell"* serves as a pragmatic marker of emotional detachment combined with decisiveness. It reflects the speaker's internal conflict and resolution.

Example 2: *"He could ask around and, who knew, maybe someone would want Fengxia after all."*[7:142]

The construction *"who knew"* conveys uncertainty and tentative hope. It introduces a modal layer that softens the assertion and reflects psychological ambiguity.

Discursive-Rhetorical PCs. Certain parenthetical constructions are used to engage the reader and emphasize unexpected developments.

Example: *"I ran down to take a look, and what do you know, it really was Erxi."* [7:153]

The phrase *"what do you know"* creates an interactive effect, simulating a conversational tone. It highlights the unexpected nature of the event and draws the reader into the narrative.

Explanatory and Generalizing PCs. Parenthetical clauses may also function as logical connectors, facilitating the transition from general statements to specific examples.

Example: *"Take me, for instance: The longer I've managed to squeeze by, the more useless I've become..."* [7:189]

The construction *"for instance"* signals exemplification and organizes discourse by linking abstract ideas to concrete illustrations.

Cross-Linguistic Structural Observations. Comparative analysis shows that parenthetical constructions in English, Uzbek, and Russian share functional similarities despite structural differences.

Example:
- *"Alaska (it is a former Russian land) is very rich in natural resources."* [12:19]
- *"Alyaska (u sobiq rus yeri) tabiiy resurslarga boy."* [12:19]
- *"Аляска (это бывшая русская земля) богата естественными ресурсами."* [12:19]

These constructions demonstrate that parenthetical elements serve as embedded explanatory units, contributing additional semantic layers without disrupting the main clause structure. [12:19]

General Findings

The results reveal several key tendencies:

1. Parenthetical clauses are structurally flexible and may occur in different positions within polycomponential composite sentences.
2. They function as semantic enrichers, adding modal, evaluative, or explanatory meanings.
3. They serve as discourse organizers, guiding the reader's interpretation.
4. They contribute to stylistic expressiveness and narrative dynamics.

DISCUSSION

The findings confirm that parenthetical clauses are not merely optional syntactic additions but play a central role in discourse construction.

Structural Independence vs. Functional Integration

Parenthetical clauses exhibit a dual nature:

- structurally independent (removable without grammatical violation),
- functionally integrated (essential for meaning and interpretation).

This duality distinguishes them from traditional subordinate clauses and supports the concept of *introductive syntactic relations* proposed in Uzbek linguistics.

Pragmatic Significance

From a pragmatic perspective, parenthetical clauses serve multiple communicative purposes:

- expressing speaker attitude;
- indicating epistemic stance (certainty, doubt, assumption);
- guiding interpretation through discourse markers.

They function as tools for managing interaction between speaker and listener (or reader).

Discursive Dynamics

Parenthetical constructions enhance discourse dynamics by:

- introducing variability in sentence structure;
- simulating natural speech patterns;
- creating a sense of immediacy and authenticity.

In narrative discourse, they contribute to dramatization and character development.

Cognitive and Interpretive Role

Parenthetical clauses also play a cognitive role by:

- structuring information flow;
- highlighting relevant details;
- facilitating comprehension through additional cues.

They help the reader process complex information by organizing it into manageable segments.

Typological Implications

The cross-linguistic evidence suggests that parenthetical constructions represent a universal linguistic phenomenon. Despite differences in form, their functions remain consistent across languages, supporting their classification as a fundamental discourse mechanism.

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that parenthetical clauses in polycomponential composite sentences function as essential structural and pragmatic elements rather than peripheral additions.

The main conclusions are as follows:

1. Parenthetical clauses serve as discourse-organizing units that structure information flow.
2. They express modal, emotional, and evaluative meanings, contributing to subjectivity.
3. They enhance narrative dynamics and stylistic expressiveness.
4. They establish logical and semantic connections between sentence components.
5. They function as universal mechanisms across languages for encoding speaker perspective.

Based on the analysis of the reviewed literature, parenthetical clauses in polycomponential composite sentences function not merely as grammatical additions but as the pragmatic core of discourse. They ensure the communicative effectiveness of the text and expand the expressive potential of the language.

REFERENCES

1.   Akimova G. N. Novoe sintaksise sovremennogo russkogo yazika. – M.: Vysshaya shkola, 1990. – 168 s.
2.   Gulomov A.G, Asqarova M. Hozirgi zamon oʻzbek tili, Sintaksis, Toshkent, «Oʻrta va oliy maktab» nashriyoti, 1961, 159— 160-betlar.
3.   Gulomov A.G. Oʻzbek tili sintaksisining baʼzi masalalari («Oʻzbek tili va adabiyoti», 1968, 2-son, 9— 11-betlar).

4.   Jamoliddinova D. Parantez birliklar turlari. Toshkent: TDPU, 2009.

5.   Mengliev B. Gapning kirish va kiritma kengaytiruvchilari // Zamonaviy oʻzbek tili II jild sintaksis. Toshkent "Mumtoz soʻz" 2011, 208 b;

6.   Hamzah N. J., 2017. Comment Clauses in English and Arabic: A Comparative Analysis//Journal of University of Babylon for Pure and Applied Sciences 25(6): pp.3132-3149;

7.   Hua Yu. To Live. Anchor Books, a Division of Random House, Inc., New York. 2003. 202 pp. Translated from Chinese by Michael Berry.

8.   Oʻzbek tili grammatikasi. II tom. Sintaksis. Toshkent: Fan, 1976.

9.   Potts C. 2002. The Syntax and Semantics of As-Parentheticals.Natural Language and LinguisticTheory 20(3).-689 p.

10.   Sayfullaeva R.R. Hozirgi oʻzbek tilida qoʻshma gaplarning substansional (zotiy) talqini. Toshkent, «Fan», 2007.-257 b.

11.   Xoshimov M.G. Kirish gapli qoʻshma gap tilda gapning invariant turi sifatida. Filologiya fanlari boʻyicha falsafa doktori (PhD) dissertatsiyasi avtoreferati. Fargʻona, 2022.

12.   Xoshimov M.G. Tilda parentez komponentli qoʻshma gaplarning umumiy nazariyasi muammolari (lingvopragmatik, lingvokulturologik va lingvostilistik aspektlar). Filologiya fanlari doktori (DSc) dissertatsiyasi avtoreferati. Fargʻona, 2024.