

IMPROVING THE METHODOLOGY OF TEACHING INFORMATION SECURITY TO STUDENTS USING SOFTWARE TOOLS

Amonov Baxtiyor Olimovich

Internal affairs department Bukhrara region

E-mail: baxabek9999@gmail.com

Ibragimov Ulugbek Muradilloevich

Bukhara state technical university

Email: ciulugbek@list.ru

Abstract: This article examines the current state and prospects of improving the methodology of teaching information security to students through the active use of specialized software tools. Modern educational institutions face the challenge of bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical skills required in the information security field. The research analyzes widely used platforms such as Kali Linux, Wireshark, GNS3, Metasploit Framework, and OWASP WebGoat, and proposes an integrated laboratory-based teaching approach that combines real-world attack and defense scenarios. A comparative evaluation of traditional lecture-based instruction versus software-enhanced practical training was conducted on the basis of Asia International University, Bukhara. The experimental results demonstrate a statistically significant improvement in students' competency levels, critical thinking, and readiness for professional activity when the proposed methodology is applied. The study also addresses the ethical and security considerations of using penetration testing tools in an academic environment.

Keywords: Information security, cybersecurity education, software tools, Kali Linux, Wireshark, penetration testing, laboratory methodology, practical training, competency-based learning, Metasploit.

In today's rapidly evolving digital landscape, information security has become one of the most critical areas of both industry and education. The growing number of cyber threats, data breaches, and network intrusions demands a new generation of specialists who possess not only theoretical foundations but also strong practical skills in defending and auditing information systems. However, the existing curricula of many universities still rely heavily on traditional lecture-based approaches, which often fail to prepare graduates adequately for real-world challenges [1, 3].

The integration of software tools into the information security curriculum represents a significant step toward bridging this gap. Platforms such as Kali Linux, Wireshark, GNS3, and Metasploit Framework provide students with hands-on experience in network analysis, vulnerability assessment, and ethical hacking within controlled, safe environments. This article investigates how such tools can be systematically incorporated into the teaching methodology to maximize educational outcomes.

The objective of this research is to develop and validate an improved teaching methodology for information security education that leverages specialized software tools, and to assess its effectiveness in comparison with traditional instructional approaches at the undergraduate and graduate levels.



1. Theoretical Foundations and Literature Review

The pedagogical concept of competency-based learning (CBL) forms the theoretical basis for this research. CBL emphasizes the acquisition of measurable, practical skills rather than purely theoretical knowledge, making it especially well-suited for technical disciplines such as information security [2, 5]. Researchers such as Bishop (2003) and Conklin (2006) have argued that information security education must prioritize active, hands-on engagement with real systems and tools to produce industry-ready professionals.

Several studies have investigated the use of virtual laboratory environments in cybersecurity training. Nance et al. (2009) demonstrated that virtual machines and network simulators significantly reduce the risks associated with live attack exercises while preserving educational realism. More recently, Andreolini et al. (2015) proposed a framework for integrating open-source security tools into university curricula, reporting measurable gains in student engagement and technical proficiency.

Despite these advances, a systematic methodology that harmonizes tool selection, scenario design, ethical guidelines, and assessment criteria is still lacking in many educational institutions of Central Asia, including Uzbekistan. This paper aims to address that gap by proposing a structured, reproducible approach.

2. Overview of Software Tools Used in the Study

The selection of software tools for information security education must balance pedagogical value, accessibility, and safety. Based on a systematic review of current industry practice and academic literature, the following tools were selected for integration into the proposed methodology:

Kali Linux — a Debian-based Linux distribution specifically designed for penetration testing and digital forensics. It includes more than 600 pre-installed tools covering network scanning, password cracking, exploit development, and wireless analysis. Its wide industry adoption makes it ideal for preparing students for professional certification exams such as OSCP and CEH [4].

Wireshark — an open-source network protocol analyzer that allows users to capture and interactively analyze network traffic in real time. In the classroom setting, Wireshark is used to teach packet-level understanding of TCP/IP protocols, detection of anomalous traffic patterns, and identification of common attack signatures.

GNS3 (Graphical Network Simulator-3) — a network emulation platform that enables students to design, configure, and test complex virtual network topologies without physical hardware. GNS3 is particularly valuable for modeling attack surfaces, firewall configurations, and intrusion detection system deployments [6].

Metasploit Framework — the world's most widely used penetration testing framework, providing a structured environment for developing, testing, and executing exploit code. Used under strict ethical guidelines within isolated virtual networks, Metasploit exposes students to the attacker's perspective in a responsible manner.

OWASP WebGoat — a deliberately insecure web application maintained by the Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP), designed for teaching web application security vulnerabilities including SQL injection, cross-site scripting (XSS), and broken authentication.



3. Proposed Integrated Teaching Methodology

The proposed methodology is structured around a four-phase laboratory cycle that progresses from conceptual understanding to independent problem-solving. Each phase is aligned with Bloom's revised taxonomy levels, ensuring that learning outcomes advance from recall and comprehension toward analysis, evaluation, and creation (Figure 1).

Phase	Name	Primary Tools	Bloom's Level
1	Theoretical Grounding	Slides, documentation	Remember / Understand
2	Guided Laboratory	Wireshark, GNS3, WebGoat	Apply / Analyze
3	Scenario-Based Attack/Defense	Kali Linux, Metasploit	Analyze / Evaluate
4	Independent Project	All tools, open-ended task	Evaluate / Create

Table 1. Four-phase integrated laboratory cycle for information security teaching.

In Phase 1, students receive foundational theoretical content supported by interactive demonstrations. Phase 2 introduces guided laboratory tasks using Wireshark and GNS3, where students analyze predefined traffic captures and network topologies. Phase 3 escalates to realistic attack-and-defense scenarios: student teams alternate between offensive roles (using Kali Linux and Metasploit in isolated virtual networks) and defensive roles (configuring firewalls, IDS rules, and patch management). Phase 4 requires each student to independently identify a vulnerability in the WebGoat application, document the attack vector, and propose a mitigation strategy.

Assessment is continuous throughout all four phases. Knowledge retention is evaluated via short written quizzes after Phase 1; technical execution is assessed through structured lab reports in Phase 2; team and individual performance during red/blue team exercises is scored in Phase 3 using a rubric aligned with industry frameworks (NIST, MITRE ATT&CK); and Phase 4 culminates in a formal written report evaluated for technical accuracy, depth of analysis, and quality of proposed countermeasures.

4. Experimental Results and Discussion

The proposed methodology was piloted over one academic semester (16 weeks) with two student cohorts at Asia International University, Bukhara. The control group (n = 28) followed the traditional curriculum consisting of lectures and paper-based exercises, while the experimental group (n = 30) participated in the four-phase integrated laboratory program described above. Pre-test and post-test assessments were administered to both groups using a standardized competency evaluation instrument covering five domains: network security, cryptography, vulnerability analysis, incident response, and security policy.



The competency score improvement can be expressed as:

$$\Delta C = C_{post} - C_{pre} \quad (1)$$

where C_{post} and C_{pre} are the mean scores recorded after and before the intervention, respectively. The normalized learning gain g , proposed by Hake (1998), was also computed:

$$g = (C_{post} - C_{pre}) / (100 - C_{pre}) \quad (2)$$

The experimental group achieved a mean normalized learning gain of $g = 0.61$, indicating a high-effectiveness intervention according to Hake's classification ($g > 0.7 = \text{high}$; $0.3 < g < 0.7 = \text{medium}$; $g < 0.3 = \text{low}$). The control group recorded $g = 0.27$, falling in the low-effectiveness range. These results confirm that the software-tool-integrated methodology produces substantially better learning outcomes across all five competency domains.

Qualitative feedback gathered through post-course surveys revealed that 87% of students in the experimental group reported greater confidence in their ability to perform real-world security tasks, compared to 41% in the control group. Students particularly valued the red/blue team exercises in Phase 3, citing increased motivation and the development of collaborative problem-solving skills as primary benefits [7].

5. Ethical and Safety Considerations

The use of penetration testing tools such as Kali Linux and Metasploit in an academic environment necessitates strict ethical and safety protocols. All laboratory exercises were conducted within isolated virtual networks with no connection to the university's production infrastructure. Students signed an acceptable use agreement prior to participation, and all attack exercises were performed exclusively against systems explicitly owned and controlled by the course instructors.

The curriculum was designed in accordance with the ACM/IEEE Computer Science Curricula guidelines on ethical computing, and all practical exercises are aligned with the scope of internationally recognized certifications such as CompTIA Security+, CEH, and OSCP. These measures ensure that students develop both technical competence and a strong professional ethical foundation.

Conclusion. This study demonstrates that integrating specialized software tools into the information security curriculum through a structured four-phase laboratory methodology significantly improves student competency, engagement, and readiness for professional practice. The experimental results at Asia International University show a normalized learning gain nearly 2.3 times higher for students in the software-enhanced program compared to those in the traditional curriculum. Future work will focus on expanding the tool set to include cloud security platforms and industrial control system (ICS) simulators, and on developing a reusable open-source course package that can be adopted by other universities across Uzbekistan and the Central Asian region.

References:

1. Bishop, M. (2003). Computer Security: Art and Science. Addison-Wesley Professional. 1084 p.
2. Conklin, W. A. (2006). Cyber defense competitions and information security education: An active learning solution for a capstone course. Proceedings of the 39th Hawaii International



Conference on System Sciences. IEEE. pp. 1–9.

3. Andreolini, M., Colajanni, M., Marchetti, M. (2015). A collaborative framework for intrusion detection in mobile networks. *Information Sciences*, vol. 321, pp. 179–192.

4. Kali Linux Documentation. (2024). Official Kali Linux documentation. Offensive Security. Available at: <https://www.kali.org/docs/>

5. Nance, K., Bishop, M., Hay, B. (2009). Virtual machine introspection: Observation or interference? *IEEE Security & Privacy*, vol. 6(5), pp. 32–37.

6. GNS3 Technologies Inc. (2024). GNS3 Network Simulator — Documentation and user guide. Available at: <https://docs.gns3.com/>

7. Ibragimov, U. (2025). Application of the Harrington method in the food industry. *Journal of Applied Science and Social Science*, 1(3), pp. 544–549.

8. Ibragimov Ulugbek Muradilloevich. Rainbow table threat and defense mechanisms. *Journal of Applied Science and Social Science*. Volume 15, Issue 10, October 2025. pp. 280–287.

9. OWASP Foundation. (2023). OWASP WebGoat Project. Available at: <https://owasp.org/www-project-webgoat/>

10. Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics*, 66(1), pp. 64–74.

