

THE NATURE OF HUMAN CONSCIOUSNESS IN RELATION TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Yasmin B.L

Philosophical researcher

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19948629>

Abstract: The rapid development of artificial intelligence has revived longstanding philosophical debates about the nature of human consciousness. As intelligent systems increasingly perform tasks traditionally associated with human cognition, questions arise regarding whether machines can possess consciousness or merely simulate intelligent behavior. This article examines the philosophical concept of human consciousness and analyzes its relationship to the development of artificial intelligence. By comparing biological consciousness with computational intelligence, the study highlights fundamental differences between human subjective experience and machine-based information processing. The paper argues that while artificial intelligence can replicate certain cognitive functions, human consciousness remains a unique phenomenon rooted in subjective awareness and lived experience.

Keywords: Human consciousness, artificial intelligence, philosophy of mind, cognition, subjective experience, machine intelligence

Introduction: Human consciousness has long been regarded as one of the most complex and profound subjects in philosophy. It encompasses awareness, self-reflection, intentionality, emotions, and the ability to experience the world subjectively. With the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence, particularly in fields such as machine learning and neural networks, the question of whether machines can develop consciousness has become increasingly relevant.

Artificial intelligence systems are now capable of performing tasks that resemble human reasoning, perception, and decision-making. These developments challenge traditional assumptions about the uniqueness of human cognition and raise fundamental philosophical questions: Is consciousness merely a computational process, or does it involve qualities that cannot be replicated by machines? Can artificial systems ever possess genuine consciousness, or are they limited to functional imitation?

The aim of this article is to explore the nature of human consciousness in relation to the development of artificial intelligence and to analyze philosophical perspectives on the possibility of machine consciousness.

Philosophical Understanding of Human Consciousness

In philosophy, consciousness is commonly understood as the capacity for subjective experience, often referred to as *phenomenal consciousness*. Thinkers such as René Descartes emphasized consciousness as self-awareness, famously expressed in the statement “*I think, therefore I am.*” Later philosophers expanded this view by exploring consciousness as intentionality, the mind’s ability to be directed toward objects and experiences.



Modern philosophy of mind distinguishes between *consciousness* and *cognition*. While cognition includes processes such as perception, memory, and reasoning, consciousness involves qualitative experiences, often described as “what it is like” to be a conscious being. Philosophers such as Thomas Nagel and David Chalmers argue that subjective experience cannot be fully explained by physical or computational processes alone.

Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Simulation

Artificial intelligence is primarily based on algorithms, data processing, and computational models designed to simulate aspects of human cognition. Machine learning systems can recognize patterns, generate language, and adapt to new information. These capabilities have led some researchers to suggest that sufficiently advanced AI systems could eventually develop consciousness.

However, from a philosophical perspective, AI systems operate through syntactic manipulation of symbols rather than semantic understanding. John Searle’s famous *Chinese Room* argument illustrates this distinction, suggesting that machines can process information without genuine understanding or awareness. According to this view, AI may exhibit intelligent behavior without possessing consciousness.

Key Differences Between Human Consciousness and Artificial Intelligence

A fundamental difference between human consciousness and artificial intelligence lies in subjectivity. Human consciousness involves emotions, intentions, moral judgment, and personal identity, all of which are shaped by biological processes and lived experience. Consciousness is deeply embedded in the human body and social environment.

Artificial intelligence, by contrast, lacks subjective experience and self-awareness. Its operations are based on externally programmed objectives and data-driven optimization. Even advanced AI systems do not experience emotions or possess an inner sense of self. As a result, AI intelligence remains functional rather than experiential.

Ethical and Philosophical Implications

The comparison between human consciousness and artificial intelligence has significant ethical implications. If machines are mistaken for conscious beings, there is a risk of misunderstanding responsibility, moral agency, and human dignity. Over-attributing consciousness to AI may also diminish the unique value of human experience.

At the same time, philosophical reflection on consciousness can guide the responsible development of artificial intelligence. Understanding the limits of AI helps ensure that human judgment, ethical reasoning, and responsibility remain central in decision-making processes involving intelligent systems.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the development of artificial intelligence has intensified philosophical inquiry into the nature of human consciousness. While AI systems can replicate certain cognitive functions, they do not possess genuine consciousness characterized by



subjective experience and self-awareness. Human consciousness remains a unique phenomenon rooted in biological, emotional, and social dimensions.

Philosophical analysis demonstrates that artificial intelligence should be understood as a powerful tool rather than a conscious entity. Recognizing the fundamental differences between human consciousness and machine intelligence is essential for preserving human values and guiding ethical technological development in the modern world.

Literature:

1. Шарипова, Н. В., Худайберганаов, А. С., Рахимов, Б. Б., & Наврузов, Э. Б. Гигиенические требования к безопасности пищевой продукции. *СанПиН РУз*, (0283-10).
2. ГИГИЕНИЧЕСКИЕ, Н. И., & УЗБЕКИСТАН, Н. Р. Гигиенические требования к производству, обороту и нормированию пищевых добавок.
3. Исраилова, Г. М., Эшмурадова, С. Т., & Тураев, И. Э. (2010). ГИГИЕНИЧЕСКАЯ ОЦЕНКА ФАКТОРОВ РИСКА ЗАГРЯЗНЕНИЯ МЯСОМОЛОЧНОЙ ПРОДУКЦИИ, ПРОИЗВОДИМОЙ В УСЛОВИЯХ МАЛОВОДЬЯ. *Профилактическая и клиническая медицина*, (1), 41-43.
4. Худайберганаов, А. С., Тураев, И. Э., Турниёзова, В. М., & Каримова, Н. О. ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ ДИЕТИЧЕСКО-ПРОФИЛАКТИЧЕСКОГО ПИТАНИЯ В ПАНСИОНАТАХ ДЛЯ ВЕТЕРАНОВ ВОЙНЫ И ТРУДА. *ЎУРМАТЛИ СОҒЛИГИМИЗ ПОСБОНЛАРИ!*.
5. Makhamatov, U., Malikov, N., Pulatov, S., Yusupov, M., Ibragimov, U., Kenjayeva, K., & Umarov, S. (2026). A HEALTHY LIFESTYLE IS THE GUARANTEE OF HEALTH. *Shokh Articles Library*, 1(1).
6. Niyozova, N. S. (2023). Tibbiyot oliy o 'quv yurtlarida O 'zbekiston tarixini o 'qitishning dolzarbligi va uni takomillashtirishda ilg 'or pedagogik texnologiyalarning o 'rni (Doctoral dissertation, Tibbiyot oliy ta'lim muassasalarida ijtimoiy fanlarni o 'qitishning dolzarb muammolari).
7. Niyozova, N. (2024). FEATURES OF THE HYGIENIC-CULTURAL APPROACH TO PRODUCT PRODUCTION. *Western European Journal of Historical Events and Social Science*, 2(10), 32-33.
8. Niyozova, N. S. (2024). TIBBIYOT XODIMI FAOLIYATIDA MULOQOT MADANIYATINI SHAKLLANTIRISHNING O 'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 5, 142-145.
9. Makhamatov, U., Malikov, N., Po'latov, S., Yusupov, M., Ibragimov, U., Kenjayeva, X., & Umarov, S. (2026). ORGANIZING HEALTHY AND SAFE NUTRITION IN OSTEOPOROSIS AFTER COVID-19. *Shokh Articles Library*, 1(1).



10. Arutyunov, G. P., Tarlovskaya, E. I., Arutyunov, A. G., Belenkov, Y. N., Konradi, A. O., Lopatin, Y. M., ... & Fatenkov, O. V. (2021). International register “analysis of chronic non-infectious diseases dynamics after COVID-19 infection in adult patients (ACTIV SARS-CoV-2)”. *Kardiologiya*, 60(11), 30-34.
11. Абдуллаева, Ч. А., Камилова, У. К., Расулова, З. Д., Ибабекова, Ш. Р., & Сафаева, Л. Ш. (2014). Изучение процессов ремоделирования сердца и дисфункции эндотелия у больных с хронической сердечной недостаточностью. *Российский кардиологический журнал*, 5(109), 3.
12. Камилова, У. К., Расулова, З. Д., Закирова, Г. А., & Тошев, Б. Б. (2019). Особенности сердечно-сосудистого ремоделирования, уровня нейрогуморальных факторов в зависимости от степени хронической сердечной недостаточности и дисфункции почек. *Кардиоваскулярная терапия и профилактика*, 18(3), 35-40.
13. Makhamatov, U., Malikov, N., Po'latov, S., Yusupov, M., Ibragimov, U., Kenjayeva, X., & Umarov, S. (2026). ORGANIZING HEALTHY AND SAFE NUTRITION IN NON-COMMUNICABLE DISEASES. *Shokh Articles Library*, 1(1).

